arXiv:1612.02723v2 [math.AC] 23 Dec 2016

# THE TRACE OF THE CANONICAL MODULE

JÜRGEN HERZOG, TAKAYUKI HIBI AND DUMITRU I. STAMATE

Abstract. The trace of the canonical module (the canonical trace) determines the non-Gorenstein locus of a local Cohen–Macaulay ring. We call a local Cohen–Macaulay ring nearly Gorenstein, if its canonical trace contains the maximal ideal. Similar definitions can be made for positively graded Cohen–Macaulay $K$-algebras. We study the canonical trace for tensor products and Segre products of algebras, as well as of (squarefree) Veronese subalgebras. The results are used to classify the nearly Gorenstein Hibi rings. We also consider the canonical trace of one-dimensional rings with a focus on numerical semigroup rings.

## Contents

Introduction 1
1. Basic properties of the trace 5
2. Nearly Gorenstein rings 7
3. Computing the trace of the canonical module 8
4. The canonical trace ideal for special constructions of algebras 10
5. Nearly Gorenstein Hibi rings 20
6. The one-dimensional case 22
7. The trace of the canonical ideal of a numerical semigroup ring 25
References 36

## Introduction

In this paper we study the trace of the canonical module of a Cohen-Macaulay ring $R$. The Cohen-Macaulay ring may either be a local ring admitting a canonical module or else a finitely generated positively graded $K$-algebra, where $K$ is a field. All of the definitions and results which are phrased for local rings have their analogous correspondence for graded rings. Thus for the general facts about traces we will restrict ourselves to local rings, unless otherwise stated.

Recall that for an $R$-module $M$ one defines the trace of $M$, denoted $\operatorname{tr}(M)$, as the sum of the ideals $\varphi(M)$, where the sum is taken over all $R$-module homomorphisms

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13H10, 13D02, 05E40, 16S36; Secondary 14M25, 13A02, 13F20, 13A18, 06A11.

*Key words and phrases.* canonical module, trace, nearly Gorenstein, almost Gorenstein, Veronese algebras, Segre product, Hibi ring, conductor, numerical semigroup, residue, shifting.

$\varphi\colon M \to R$. Traces of modules have been considered in various contexts, in particular to better understand the center of the ring of endomorphisms of a module, cf. [25]. Here we are only interested in the trace of the canonical module.

The significance of the trace of the canonical module $\omega_R$ arises from the fact that it describes the non-Gorenstein locus of $R$, see Lemma 2.1. In particular, $R$ is Gorenstein if $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = R$, and it is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum of $R$ if $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of $R$. Ding [9] has already considered $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$. He showed that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary if and only if the Auslander index of $R$ is finite, see [9, Theorem 1.1].

We call $R$ *nearly Gorenstein*, if $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$. Thus $R$ is nearly Gorenstein but not Gorenstein, if and only if $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$. We would like to understand how much this class differs from the Gorenstein one, and compare it with related concepts like almost Gorenstein, in the sense of [2] and [14]. Using a result of Teter, in [22, Corollary 2.2] Huneke and Vraciu showed that quotients $R$ of Gorenstein artinian local rings by their socle satisfy the condition $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$. They also classified the $\mathfrak{m}$-primary monomial ideals $I$ in a polynomial ring $S$ such that $S/I$ is of small type and it is nearly Gorenstein, see [22, Example 4.3, Theorem 4.5].

In this paper, after proving general statements about $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ and how it can be computed, we apply these results to study the nearly Gorenstein property for several classes of algebras, including the ones of Veronese type, Segre products, Hibi rings, or one dimensional rings, with a focus on the toric case.

It is easily seen that if $I \subset R$ is an ideal with $\operatorname{grade} I > 0$, then $\operatorname{tr}(I) = I \cdot I^{-1}$. Here $I^{-1}$ denotes the inverse ideal of $I$, namely $I^{-1} = \{x \in Q(R)\colon xI \subseteq R\}$ with $Q(R)$ the total ring of fractions of $R$. In particular, if $R$ is generically Gorenstein, $\omega_R$ may be identified with an ideal of grade 1, and hence in this case $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \omega_R\omega_R^{-1}$, where $\omega_R^{-1}$ is the anti-canonical ideal of $R$. While the canonical ideal $\omega_R$ is only determined up to isomorphism, its trace is uniquely determined.

For the convenience of the reader we collect and record in Section 1 a few general and basic properties on the trace of modules, some of them well-known. In Proposition 1.4 the trace of a product of ideals is considered in relation to the traces of its factors, while Lemma 1.5 describes the behavior of the trace under change of rings.

In Section 2 nearly Gorenstein rings are introduced and it is shown in Proposition 2.3 that if a ring is nearly Gorenstein, then any reduction of the ring modulo a regular sequence is again nearly Gorenstein. It is also observed that the converse does not hold in general.

In the case that $R$ is a residue class ring of a regular local ring $S$, $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ can be computed in terms of the free $S$-resolution of $R$, more precisely in terms of the last step of the resolution, as shown in Corollary 3.2. This is the consequence of a result of Vasconcelos [37] which we recall in Proposition 3.1. In the rest of Section 3 other, more special, situations are considered in which $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ can be computed more explicitly. Notable is the situation when $R$ is a domain and the Cohen–Macaulay type of $R$ is 2. It is shown in Corollary 3.4 that in this case the entries of the last map in the free $S$-resolution of $R = S/I$ generate $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ modulo $I$.

Section 4 is devoted to the study of the canonical trace ideal for special algebra constructions. There we have in mind tensor products of algebras, Veronese algebras, squarefree Veronese algebras and Segre products. The result for tensor algebras (Theorem 4.2) asserts the following: let $K$ be a field and $R_1$ and $R_2$ be positively graded Cohen-Macaulay $K$-algebras, and let $\omega_{R_1}$, $\omega_{R_2}$ be their respective canonical modules. Then $\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_{R_1\otimes_K R_2}) = \mathrm{tr}_{R_1}(\omega_{R_1})R\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{R_2}(\omega_{R_2})R$. As an immediate consequence one obtains that the tensor product $R_1 \otimes_K R_2$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if it is is Gorenstein, which in turn is the case if and only if both $R_1$ and $R_2$ are Gorenstein, see Corollary 4.3. In particular, a polynomial ring extension of a positively graded $K$-algebra $R$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $R$ is Gorenstein, see Corollary 4.4. A similar statement holds for power series over a local ring, as stated in Proposition 4.5

Next we consider Veronese subalgebras of a standard graded $K$-algebra $R$. Let $d > 0$ be an integer and $R^{(d)} = \bigoplus_i R_{id}$ be the $d$-th Veronese subalgebra of $R$. The $R^{(d)}$-modules $M_j = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} R_{di+j}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, d-1$ are called the $d$-th Veronese submodules of $R$. In Theorem 4.6 we show that $\mathfrak{m}^{(d)} \subseteq \mathrm{tr}_{R^{(d)}}(M_j)$ for any $d$-th Veronese submodule $M_j$ of $R$. Here $\mathfrak{m}^{(d)}$ denotes the graded maximal ideal of $R^{(d)}$. By using a result of Goto and Watanabe [15] one deduces then from Theorem 4.6 that all Veronese subalgebras of a standard graded $K$-algebra $R$ over an infinite field $K$ are nearly Gorenstein, if $R$ is Gorenstein.

The situation for squarefree Veronese subalgebras is more complicated. Given integers $n \geq d > 0$, the $d$-th squarefree Veronese subalgebra $R_{n,d}$ of the polynomial ring $S$ in $n$ variables over a field $K$ is the $K$-algebra generated by the squarefree monomials in $S$ of degree $d$. Based on a theorem of Bruns, Vasconcelos and Villarreal [5] we give in Theorem 4.12 an explicit description of the anti-canonical ideal of $R_{n,d}$, and we use this result to show in Theorem 4.14 that the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $R_{n,d}$ is nearly Gorenstein, (ii) $R_{n,d}$ is Gorenstein, (iii) $d = 1$ or $d = n-1$ or $n = 2d$.

By another result of Goto and Watanabe [15], the canonical module for the Segre product $T = R\#S$ of positively graded Cohen-Macaulay $K$-algebras $R$ and $S$ of Krull dimension at least 2 is just the Segre product of the respective canonical modules, assuming that $T$ is Cohen–Macaulay. We use this result in Theorem 4.15 to compute $\mathrm{tr}(\omega_T)$ in the case that $R$ and $S$ are standard graded Gorenstein $K$-algebras. It is shown in Theorem 4.15 that $\mathfrak{m}_T^{|r-s|} \subseteq \mathrm{tr}(\omega_T)$, where $\mathfrak{m}_T$ is the graded maximal ideal of $T$ and where $r$ and $s$ are the respective $a$-invariants of $R$ and $S$. Equality holds, if $T$ is a domain. If follows that under these conditions $T$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $|r-s| \leq 1$, see Corollary 4.16. The section ends with Proposition 4.18 in which the anti-canonical ideal of the Segre product is computed in the case that $R$ and $S$ are polynomial rings.

These results on Segre products are used in Section 5 to give a complete classification of all nearly Gorenstein Hibi rings. Given a finite distributive lattice $L$ and a field $K$, the Hibi ring of $L$ defined over $K$ is the toric ring $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ whose relations are the meet-join relations of $L$. By a fundamental theorem of Birkhoff, $L$ is the ideal lattice $\mathcal{J}(P)$ of its poset of join irreducible elements. It is shown in Theorem 5.4

that $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $P$ is the disjoint union of pure connected posets $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ such that $|\operatorname{rank}(P_i) - \operatorname{rank}(P_j)| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq q$. This naturally complements the result of the second author in [19] that $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is Gorenstein if and only if $P$ is pure.

The last two sections deal with one-dimensional rings, and especially with numerical semigroup rings. In Section 6 we consider one-dimensional local Cohen–Macaulay rings admitting a canonical module. Our first observation is that any one-dimensional almost Gorenstein ring as defined by Barucci and Fröberg [2] is nearly Gorenstein, see Proposition 6.1. For this proof we use the description of almost Gorenstein rings as given by Goto et al. [14]. This description has also the advantage that the concept of almost Gorenstein rings can be naturally extended to higher dimensions. Unfortunately, in higher dimensions almost Gorenstein rings and nearly Gorenstein rings are not related to each other, as it is shown by examples. If the one-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay domain $R$ is of embedding dimension 3 and it has the presentation $S/I$ with $S$ a regular local ring of dimension 3, then $R$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if the entries of the relation matrix of $I$ generate the maximal ideal of $S$, see Proposition 6.3. Here the difference between almost Gorenstein and nearly Gorenstein becomes tangible, especially when we consider the semigroup ring of a 3-generated numerical semigroup. By a result of Nari, Numata and K.-i Watanabe [29] (see also [28]) the ring is almost Gorenstein if the entries of a row of the relation matrix (and not necessarily all entries of the relation matrix) generate the maximal ideal of $S$.

In the case that $R$ is a one-dimensional domain which is a subring of a discrete valuation ring $\tilde{R}$, and for which $\tilde{R}$ is a finite $R$-module, it can be seen (Proposition 6.5) that the trace of an ideal in $R$, and hence also the trace of the canonical module, contains the conductor $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}/R} = R :_R \widetilde{R}$. As a consequence, one obtains (Corollary 6.6) that $R$ is nearly Gorenstein if $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}/R}$. For any ideal $I \subset R$, Proposition 6.8 provides several conditions on $I$ which are equivalent to $\operatorname{tr}(I) = \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}/R}$. Finally, it is shown in Corollary 6.9 that the equality $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}/R}$, forces $R$ to have minimal multiplicity, if $R$ is an almost complete intersection of embedding dimension 3.

In Section 7 we focus on toric rings coming from numerical semigroups. This is a rich source of examples and we can use specific techniques to study the nearly Gorenstein property. A numerical semigroup $H$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}$ containing 0 such that the number of gaps $g(H) = |\mathbb{N} \setminus H|$ is finite. The largest gap (i.e. positive integer not in $H$) is the Frobenius number $\mathrm{F}(H)$. In Proposition 7.1 we show that if $H$ is generated by an arithmetic sequence, then $K[H]$ is nearly Gorenstein. For such semigroups, only in some special situations, the semigroup ring $K[H]$ is (almost) Gorenstein. However, if $H$ has minimal multiplicity (i.e. its smallest positive element equals the size of its minimal generating set), then we prove in Theorem 7.4 that $K[H]$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if it is almost Gorenstein.

As a measure of how far is $K[H]$ from being Gorenstein (equivalently, that $H$ is symmetric, cf. [24]), we introduce the residue of $H$ defined as

$$\operatorname{res}(H) = \dim_K K[H]/\operatorname{tr}(\omega_{K[H]}).$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{res}(H) = 0$ when $H$ is symmetric, and $\operatorname{res}(H) \leq 1$ precisely when $K[H]$ is nearly Gorenstein. The exponents of the monomials in $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_{K[H]})$ form a semigroup ideal $\operatorname{tr}(H) \subseteq H$. By applying the results from Section 6, we see in Proposition 7.5 that if $H$ is not symmetric, then $\mathcal{C}_H \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(H) \subseteq H \setminus \{0\}$, where $\mathcal{C}_H$ is the semigroup ideal generated by the elements of $H$ larger than $\mathrm{F}(H)$.

This observation gives a first estimate $\operatorname{res}(H) \leq n(H) := |\{x \in H : x < \mathrm{F}(H)\}|$ in Corollary 7.6. However, examples computed with the NumericalSgps package [8] in GAP [12] indicate (Question 7.7) that a tighter bound might hold:

$$\operatorname{res}(H) \leq n(H) - g(H). \tag{1}$$

This bound is correct if $K[H]$ is nearly Gorenstein, and also if $H$ is 3-generated, cf. Proposition 7.10.

When $H$ is 3-generated and not symmetric, the relation ideal $I_H \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ of $K[H]$ is given by the maximal minors of the structure matrix of $H$, which is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{a_1} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3} \\ x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{b_3} & x_1^{b_1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

With this notation we derive in Proposition 7.9 that $\operatorname{res}(H) = \prod_{i=1}^{3} \min\{a_i, b_i\}$.

Working with the structure matrix of $H$ allows us to parametrize explicitly the non-symmetric 3-generated semigroups $H$ whose trace is at either end of the interval $[\mathcal{C}_H, H \setminus \{0\}]$, see Theorem 7.11 and Proposition 7.13.

Example 7.8 shows that $\operatorname{res}(H)$ may take any nonnegative integer value, even if we fix the number of generators of $H$. Still, once we fix $n_1 < \cdots < n_e$, the residue of the semigroups in the shifted family $\{\langle n_1 + j, \ldots, n_e + j\rangle\}_{j \geq 0}$ seem to change periodically with $j$, for $j \gg 0$. This goes in the same direction as a recent number of other results about eventually periodic properties in this shifted family, see [23], [39], [18]. Using [34], we prove in Theorem 7.16 that given $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$ and letting $H_j = \langle n_1 + j, n_2 + j, n_3 + j\rangle$ we have $\operatorname{res}(H_j) = \operatorname{res}(H_{j+(n_3-n_1)})$ for all $j \gg 0$. In this setup, in Corollary 7.18 we obtain another upper bound for $\operatorname{res}(H_j)$ when $j \gg 0$, depending on $n_3 - n_1$.

## 1. Basic properties of the trace

For an $R$-module $M$, its *trace*, denoted $\operatorname{tr}_R(M)$, is the sum of the ideals $\varphi(M)$ with $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{tr}_R(M) = \sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R)} \varphi(M).$$

When there is no risk of confusion about the ring we simply write $\operatorname{tr}(M)$.

Note that if $M$ is finitely generated, the trace localizes. In other words, $\operatorname{tr}(M)R_P = \operatorname{tr}(M_P)$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

If $M_1$ and $M_2$ are isomorphic $R$-modules, then $\operatorname{tr}_R(M_1) = \operatorname{tr}_R(M_2)$.

Given any ideal $I \subset R$ of positive grade, we set

$$I^{-1} = \{x \in Q(R) \colon\ xI \subseteq R\},$$

where $Q(R)$ is the total ring of fractions of $R$.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let $I \subset R$ be an ideal of positive grade. Then* $\operatorname{tr}(I) = I \cdot I^{-1}$.

*Proof.* Pick $b \in I$ and which is regular on $R$. For any $a \in I$ and any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R)$ one has $\varphi(ab) = b\varphi(a) = a\varphi(b)$, hence $\varphi(a) = a \cdot (\varphi(b)/b)$. We claim that $\varphi(b)/b \in I^{-1}$. Indeed, for any $c \in I$ one has $(\varphi(b)/b)c = (\varphi(b)c)/b = \varphi(bc)/b = (b\varphi(c))/b = \varphi(c) \in R$. This shows that $\operatorname{tr}(I) \subseteq I \cdot I^{-1}$.

For the reverse inclusion, note that $I \cdot I^{-1} = \sum_{x \in I^{-1}} xI$. Since $xI$ is the image of the $R$-linear map $\theta_x : I \to R$ with $\theta_x(a) = xa$, the assertion follows. □

**Remark 1.2.** According to [4, Exercise 1.2.24], for any ideal $I$ of the noetherian ring $R$, $\operatorname{grade} I \geq 2$ if and only if the canonical homomorphism $R \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R)$ is an isomorphism. Equivalently, any $R$-module homomorphism from $I$ into $R$ is just the multiplication by some element in $R$. Therefore, if $\operatorname{grade} I \geq 2$ one has $\operatorname{tr}(I) = I$.

We record some properties of the trace ideals that we need for later.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let $M$ and $N$ be two $R$-modules. Then*

$$\operatorname{tr}(M)\operatorname{tr}(N) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(M \otimes_R N) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(M) \cap \operatorname{tr}(N).$$

*Proof.* Let $a \in M$, $b \in N$, $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(N, R)$. If we denote $\varepsilon : R \otimes_R R \to R$ the canonical map letting $\varepsilon(u \otimes v) = uv$ for any $u, v$ in $R$, then $\varphi(a)\psi(b) = (\varepsilon \circ (\varphi \otimes \psi))(a \otimes b) \in \operatorname{tr}(M \otimes_R N)$. This shows that $\operatorname{tr}(M)\operatorname{tr}(N) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(M \otimes_R N)$.

Let $a \in M$, $b \in N$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, R)$. The map $\psi : N \to R$ given by $\psi(n) = \varphi(a \otimes n)$ is $R$-linear and $\varphi(a \otimes b) = \psi(b) \in \operatorname{tr}(N)$. From here we get that $\operatorname{tr}(M \otimes_R N) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(N)$, and by symmetry, also that $\operatorname{tr}(M \otimes_R N) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(M) \cap \operatorname{tr}(N)$. □

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals of positive grade in the ring $R$. Then*

$$\operatorname{tr}(I)\operatorname{tr}(J) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(IJ) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(I) \cap \operatorname{tr}(J).$$

*Proof.* Notice first that $IJ$ has positive grade and Lemma 1.1 applies to it, as well.

Let $a \in I$, $b \in I^{-1}$, $a' \in J$ and $b' \in J^{-1}$. Clearly $(ab)(a'b') = (aa')(bb')$ and $aa' \in IJ$. We claim that $bb' \in (IJ)^{-1}$. For that, it is enough to consider $u \in I$, $v \in J$ and show that $(bb')(uv) \in (IJ)^{-1}$. This is the case, since $(bb')(uv) = (bu)(b'v) \in R$. We conclude that $\operatorname{tr}(I)\operatorname{tr}(J) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(IJ)$.

For the other inclusion in the text we consider $x = az$ with $a \in IJ$ and $z \in (IJ)^{-1}$. One has $a = \sum_\lambda u_\lambda v_\lambda$ with $u_\lambda \in I$ and $v_\lambda \in J$ for all $\lambda$. Clearly, $v_\lambda z \in I^{-1}$, since for any $b \in I$ one has $b(v_\lambda z) = (bv_\lambda)z \in (IJ)(IJ)^{-1} \subseteq R$. Therefore, $u_\lambda(v_\lambda z) \in I \cdot I^{-1}$, and also $az \in I \cdot I^{-1}$. Conclusion follows by Lemma 1.1. □

Next we study how the trace behaves under a base change.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let $\varphi : R_1 \to R$ be a ring homomorphism, $M$ an $R$-module, and $M_1$ an $R_1$-module. Then*

(i) *if $\varphi$ is surjective, one has* $(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1} M)R \subseteq \operatorname{tr}_R M$;

(ii) $(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1} M_1)R \subseteq \operatorname{tr}_R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R)$;
(iii) *if $\varphi$ is a flat morphism and $M_1$ has a finite presentation, one has*

$$(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1} M_1)R = \operatorname{tr}_R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R).$$

*Proof.* For (i) let us consider an $R_1$-linear map $f : M \to R_1$. We claim that the map $g = \varphi \circ f : M \to R$ is $R$-linear. It is clearly additive. For $r \in R$ we pick $r_1 \in R_1$ with $\varphi(r_1) = r$. Hence $g(rm) = g(r_1 \cdot m) = \varphi(f(r_1 \cdot m)) = \varphi(r_1 f(x)) = \varphi(r_1)\varphi(f(m)) = rg(m)$, for all $m$ in $M$. This shows that $\varphi(\operatorname{Im}\varphi) \subseteq \operatorname{Im} g$, hence $(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1} M)R \subseteq \operatorname{tr}_R M$.

For (ii), let $f : M_1 \to R_1$ be an $R_1$-linear map. Denoting $g = f \otimes_{R_1} 1_R : M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R \to R_1 \otimes_{R_1} R \cong R$ the $R$-linear map given by $g(m \otimes r) = rf(m)$, we have that $\operatorname{Im}(f)R \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(g)$, hence $(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1} M_1)R \subseteq \operatorname{tr}_R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R)$.

Under the assumptions of (iii), by [26, 3.E] there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R, R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_1}(M_1, R_1) \otimes_{R_1} R.$$

This implies that the image of any $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R, R)$ is obtained by extending into $R$ the image of a suitable $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R_1}(M_1, R_1)$, by the method described in the proof of part (ii). This gives that $\operatorname{tr}_R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R) \subseteq (\operatorname{tr}_{R_1} M_1)R$, and using part (ii), the conclusion follows. □

**Remark 1.6.** The inclusion in part (i) of Lemma 1.5 may be strict. Let $R$ be any ring and $I$ an ideal of positive grade. Then $\operatorname{tr}_{R/I}(R/I) = R/I$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{R/I}(R) = 0$. Indeed, if $x \in I$ is a regular on $R$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, R)$, then $0 = \varphi(\hat{x}) = \varphi(x \cdot \hat{1}) = x\varphi(\hat{1})$ in $R$, hence $\varphi(\hat{1}) = 0$. This implies $\varphi(\hat{r}) = r\varphi(\hat{1}) = 0$ for any $r$ in $R$.

## 2. Nearly Gorenstein rings

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring which admits a canonical module $\omega_R$. The trace of $\omega_R$ describes the non-Gorenstein locus of $R$. Indeed, one has

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Then $R_P$ is not a Gorenstein ring if and only if*

$$\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \subseteq P.$$

*Proof.* Let $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \subseteq P$. Suppose that $R_P$ is Gorenstein. Then $\omega_{R_P} \cong R_P$, and hence there exists $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R_P}(\omega_{R_P}, R_P)$ with $\varphi(\omega_{R_P}) = R_P$. It follows that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)_P = \operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_P}) = R_P$. Thus $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \not\subseteq P$.

Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \not\subseteq P$. Then $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_P}) = R_P$. Therefore, there exists a surjective $R_P$-module homomorphism $\varphi : \omega_{R_P} \to R_P$. Since $R_P$ is free, the map $\varphi$ splits, and we get $\omega_{R_P} \cong R_P \oplus U$. Since $\omega_{R_P}$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module of type 1, it follows that $\omega_{R_P} \cong R_P$, and this implies that $R_P$ is Gorenstein. □

If $R$ is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ we define the *residue* of $R$ as the numerical invariant

$$\operatorname{res}(R) = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(R/\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)).$$

Ananthnarayan [1, Corollary 3.8] shows that if moreover $R$ is artinian, then $\operatorname{res}(R)$ is bounded above by the Gorenstein colength of $R$, introduced by him in [1, Definition 1.2].

**Definition 2.2.** A Cohen-Macaulay local ring (or positively graded $K$-algebra) $R$ admitting a canonical module $\omega_R$ is called *nearly Gorenstein*, if $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ contains the (graded) maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$.

It follows from this definition that Gorenstein rings are nearly Gorentein, and that $R$ is nearly Gorenstein but not Gorenstein, if and only if $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$.

We recall that the ring $R$ is called generically Gorenstein if $R_P$ is Gorenstein for all minimal prime ideals $P$ of $R$. Since any field is Gorenstein, we get that domains are generically Gorenstein. If we assume that $R$ is generically Gorenstein, then by [4, Proposition 3.3.18], $\omega_R$ is either isomorphic to the whole ring $R$ if the latter is Gorenstein, or otherwise it can be identified with an ideal of $R$ of grade 1. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \omega_R \cdot \omega_R^{-1}$, where $\omega_R^{-1}$ is also called the anti-canonical ideal of $R$.

**Proposition 2.3.** (a) *Let $R$ be nearly Gorenstein. Then $R$ is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum of $R$.*

(b) *Let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_r$ be a regular sequence on $R$, and set $\bar{R} = R/(\mathbf{x})$. If $R$ is nearly Gorenstein, then so is $\bar{R}$. The converse does not hold in general.*

*Proof.* (a) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1

(b) Observing that $\omega_{\bar{R}} = \omega_R \otimes \bar{R}$ by [4, Theorem 3.3.5], Lemma 1.5 implies that $\operatorname{tr}_R(\omega_R)\bar{R} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}})$. This shows that if $R$ is nearly Gorenstein, then so is $\bar{R}$.

On the other hand, assume that $\bar{R}$ is nearly Gorenstein but not Gorenstein, and let $R = \bar{R}[|x|]$ be the formal power series over $\bar{R}$. Then $x$ is a non-zerodivisor of $R$, and $R/(x)R = \bar{R}$ is nearly Gorenstein. But $R$ itself is not nearly Gorenstein, see Proposition 4.5. □

## 3. Computing the trace of the canonical module

Let $\alpha\colon R^p \to R^q$ be an $R$-linear map, and let $A$ be the matrix representing $\alpha$ with respect to some bases of $R^p$ and $R^q$. We denote by $I_t(A)$ the ideal of $t$-minors of $A$. This ideal depends only on $\alpha$ and not on the chosen bases. Therefore, we also write $I_t(\alpha)$ for $I_t(A)$. Following Vasconcelos [37, Remark 3.3], the trace of a module can be computed as follows.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \to M$ be a minimal free presentation of the $R$-module $M$. Let $\varphi^*$ denote the dual of $\varphi$, and consider the beginning of a minimal free resolution*

$$G \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_0^* \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} F_1^* \longrightarrow D(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

*of the Auslander dual $D(M)$ of $M$ (which is defined to be the cokernel of $\varphi^*$). Then $\operatorname{tr}(M) = I_1(\alpha)$.*

*Proof.* The $R$-module homomorphisms $\gamma\colon M \to R$ are induced by $R$-module homomorphisms $\beta\colon F_0 \to R$ with $\beta \circ \varphi = 0$. Thus $\operatorname{tr}(M) = \sum \beta(F_0)$, where the sum is taken over all $R$-module homomorphisms $\beta\colon F_0 \to R$ with $\beta \circ \varphi = 0$. Let $\beta$ be such an $R$-module homomorphism, and let $\mathcal{B}\colon e_1, \ldots, e_m$ be a basis of $F_0$. Then

$\beta(F_0)$ is the ideal $(\beta(e_1), \dots, \beta(e_m)) \subseteq R$. Notice that $\beta^*(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta(e_i)e_i^*$, where $e_1^*, \dots, e_m^*$ is the dual basis of $\mathcal{B}$. Since $\varphi^* \circ \beta^* = (\beta \circ \varphi)^* = 0$, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^m \beta(e_i)e_i^*$ is in the image of $\alpha$, and this shows that $\operatorname{tr}(M) \subseteq I_1(\alpha)$.

Conversely, let $g_1, \dots, g_r$ be a basis of $G$ and let $\alpha(g_k) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{jk}e_j^*$ for $k = 1, \dots, r$. Then $I_1(\alpha)$ is the sum of the ideals $J_k$ with $J_k = (a_{1k}, \dots, a_{mk})$ for $k = 1, \dots, r$. For such $k$, let $\beta_k : F_0 \to R$ be the $R$-module homomorphism defined by $\beta_k(e_j) = a_{jk}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{jk}e_j^*$ is in the kernel of $\varphi^*$, it follows that $\beta_k \circ \varphi = 0$. Therefore, $J_k \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(M)$ for all $k$. This shows that $I_1(\alpha) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(M)$. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let $S$ be a regular local ring and let*

$$\mathbb{F}\colon 0 \longrightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} F_{p-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$$

*be a minimal free $S$-resolution of the Cohen-Macaulay ring $R = S/J$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_t$ be a basis of $F_p$. Suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, s$ the elements $\sum_{j=1}^t r_{ij}e_j$ generate the kernel of*

$$F_p \otimes R \xrightarrow{\psi_p} F_{p-1} \otimes R,$$

*where $\psi_p = \varphi_p \otimes R$. Then $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ is generated by the elements $r_{ij}$ with $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = 1, \dots, t$.*

*Proof.* The canonical module $\omega_R$ of $R$ can be computed as the cokernel of the map $\varphi_p^*\colon F_{p-1}^* \to F_p^*$, see [4, Corollary 3.3.9]. Hence, as an $R$-module its presentation is given as follows:

$$F_{p-1}^* \otimes R \xrightarrow{\varphi_p^* \otimes R} F_p^* \otimes R \longrightarrow \omega_R \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus the desired conclusion follows from Proposition 3.1. □

Recall that the (Cohen–Macaulay) type of a Cohen–Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ of dimension $d$ is the number $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}, R)$.

In the following corollaries we refer to the notation of Corollary 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let $R$ be Cohen–Macaulay of type $t$, and assume that $R$ is generically Gorenstein. Then $I_{t-1}(\psi_p) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$.*

*Proof.* Since $\varphi_p^* \otimes R = \psi_p^*$, it follows that $I_{t-1}(\psi_p) = I_{t-1}(\psi_p^*) = I_{t-1}(\varphi_p^* \otimes R)$.

Let $U = \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_p^* \otimes R)$. Then we obtain an exact sequence $0 \to U \to R^t \to \omega_R \to 0$. Here we identified $F_p^* \otimes R$ with $R^t$, since $F_p$ is free of a rank $t$.

Since $R$ is generically Gorenstein, $\omega_R$ is an ideal of rank 1, so that $U$ is a module of rank $t - 1$. Let $A$ be the $t \times r$-matrix which describes $U$ as a submodule of $R^t$. Then $A$ is just the relation matrix of $\omega_R$ and $\operatorname{rank} A = t - 1$. This implies ([4, Proposition 1.4.11]) that all $t$-minors of $A$ vanish.

We need to prove that $I_{t-1}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$.

Let $\Delta$ be any $(t-1)$-minor of $A$, and let $B$ be the $t \times (t-1)$ submatrix of $A$ whose columns are involved in computing $\Delta$. For any $j = 1, \dots, r$, adding the column $(a_{1j}, \dots, a_{tj})^T$ of $A$ to $B$, we obtain a $t \times t$-matrix $B'$ whose determinant is zero, since $I_t(A) = 0$. Expanding $B'$ with respect to the new column we have added to $B$, we see that $\sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^i a_{ij}\Delta_i = 0$, where $\Delta_i$ is the determinant of the $(t-1) \times (t-1)$-matrix which is obtained from $B$ by dropping the $i$th row.

In conclusion, we see that $U$ is in the kernel of the map $\alpha : R^t \to R$ which assigns to the $i$th canonical basis vector of $R^t$ the element $(-1)^i\Delta_i$. This shows that $(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_t)R \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} : R^t/U \to R$ is the $R$-linear map induced by $\alpha$. In particular, $\Delta \in \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$. This finishes the proof. □

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose $R$ is a domain of type 2. Then*

$$\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = I_1(\psi_p) \text{ and } \omega_R^{-1} \cong \operatorname{Im}(\psi_p^*).$$

*In particular,* $\mu(\omega_R^{-1}) = \operatorname{rank} F_{p-1}$.

*Proof.* Regarding the first equation, we only need to show that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \subseteq I_1(A)$, since $I_1(\psi_p) = I_1(A)$ and since the other inclusion is already shown in Corollary 3.3.

As $R$ is a domain, it follows that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \omega_R \cdot \omega_R^{-1}$. Let $f_1$ and $f_2$ be the generators of $\omega_R$. We may assume that $A$ is the relation matrix of $\omega_R$ with respect to these generators. Then it suffices to show that the elements $xf_1$ and $xf_2$ belong to $I_1(A)$ for any $x \in \omega_R^{-1}$. To see this, let $a = xf_1$ and $b = xf_2$. Then $x(bf_1 - af_2) = 0$. Since $R$ is a domain, we conclude that $bf_1 - af_2 = 0$. This implies that $a, b \in I_1(\psi_p)$.

Letting $r = \operatorname{rank} F_{p-1}$,

$$R^r \xrightarrow{\psi_p^*} R^2 \longrightarrow \omega_R \longrightarrow 0$$

is the beginning of the minimal free resolution for the ideal $\omega_R = (f_1, f_2)$. Then $U = \operatorname{Im}(\psi_p^*) = \{(a, b) \in R^2 : af_1 + bf_2 = 0\}$. It is an easy exercise to check that the map $\omega_R^{-1} \to U$ with $g \mapsto (gf_2, -gf_1)$ establishes an isomorphism, hence also $\mu(\omega_R^{-1}) = \mu(U) = r = \operatorname{rank} F_{p-1}$. □

By using Corollary 3.4 we obtain the following characterization of nearly Gorenstein rings of type 2.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let $R$ be a domain of type 2. Then $R$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $I_1(\varphi_p) = \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the maximal ideal of $S$.*

*Proof.* Corollary 3.4 implies that $I_1(\psi_p) = \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if $R$ is nearly Gorenstein. Let $R = S/J$. Then we see that $R$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $I_1(\varphi_p) + J = \mathfrak{n} + J$. The desired result follows from Nakayama's lemma. □

## 4. The canonical trace ideal for special constructions of algebras

4.1. **Tensor products.** The next result describes the trace of a tensor product of two $K$-algebras as the product of the trace ideals of the factors.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let $R_1$ and $R_2$ be $K$-algebras over the field $K$, and set $R = R_1 \otimes_K R_2$. For any finitely presented $R_1$-module $M_1$ and $R_2$-module $M_2$ one has that*

(i) $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R, M_2 \otimes_{R_2} R) = 0$ *for all* $i > 0$;
(ii) $\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_1)R \cdot \operatorname{tr}_{R_2}(M_2)R = \operatorname{tr}_R(M_1 \otimes_K M_2) = \operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_1)R \cap \operatorname{tr}_{R_2}(M_2)R$.

*Proof.* Let $\mathbb{F}' \to 0$ and $\mathbb{G}' \to 0$ be free resolutions of $M_1$ over $R_1$, and of $M_2$ over $R_2$, respectively. General homological algebra facts (see [40, Theorem 2.7.2]) imply that for all $i > 0$ one has $H_i(\mathbb{F}' \otimes_K \mathbb{G}') \cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^K(M_1, M_2) = 0$, since $M_1$ is free over $K$.

Since the canonical maps $R_1 \to R$ and $R_2 \to R$ are flat, it follows that the chain complexes $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}' \otimes_{R_1} R$ and $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}' \otimes_{R_2} R$ are free resolutions over $R$ of $M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R$, and of $M_2 \otimes_{R_2} R$, respectively. As before, we get that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R, M_2 \otimes_{R_2} R) \cong H_i(\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{G})$ for all $i$.

For any $R_1$-module $N_1$ and any $R_2$-module $N_2$ there exists a canonical isomorphism $N_1 \otimes_K N_2 \cong (N_1 \otimes_{R_1} R) \otimes_R (N_2 \otimes_{R_2} R)$, which can be used to construct an isomorphism between the chain complexes $\mathbb{F}' \otimes_K \mathbb{G}'$ and $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{G}$. This implies that $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{G}$ is also acyclic, which proves (i).

For (ii) we apply the identity in part (i) to the modules $R_1/\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_1)$ and $R_2/\operatorname{tr}_{R_2}(M_2)$. Combined with [40, Exercise 3.1.3], that gives

$$0 = \operatorname{Tor}_1^R\left(\frac{R}{(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_1))R}, \frac{R}{(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_2))R}\right) \cong \frac{(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_1))R \cap (\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_2))R}{(\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_1))R \cdot (\operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(M_2))R}.$$

These equations together with Proposition 1.3, Lemma 1.5(iii) and the isomorphism of $R$-modules $M_1 \otimes_K M_2 \cong (M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R) \otimes_R (M_2 \otimes_{R_2} R)$ yield the desired statement. □

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let $R_1$ and $R_2$ be positively graded Cohen-Macaulay $K$-algebras over a field $K$, and $\omega_{R_1}$, $\omega_{R_2}$ their respective canonical modules. Denote $R = R_1 \otimes_K R_2$. Then*

$$\omega_R \cong \omega_{R_1} \otimes_K \omega_{R_2} \text{ and}$$
$$\operatorname{tr}_R(\omega_R) = \operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(\omega_{R_1})R \cdot \operatorname{tr}_{R_2}(\omega_{R_2})R.$$

*Proof.* We consider minimal presentations $R_1 \cong S_1/I_1$, $R_2 \cong S_2/I_2$ as quotients of the polynomial rings $S_1$ and $S_2$, and we let $S = S_1 \otimes_K S_2$. Clearly $R \cong S/(I_1, I_2)S$.

We note that the fibers of the flat extensions $S_1 \subset S$ and $S_2 \subset S$ are Gorenstein, hence using [4, Theorem 3.3.14] we obtain that $\omega_1 = \omega_{R_1} \otimes_{S_1} S$ and $\omega_2 = \omega_{R_2} \otimes_{S_2} S$ are the canonical modules for $S_1/I_1 \otimes_{S_1} S \cong S/I_1S$, and for $S/I_2S$, respectively.

Let

$$\mathbb{F}' : \quad 0 \to F'_p \to F'_{p-1} \to \cdots \to F'_0 \to S_1/I_1 \to 0$$

be a minimal free $S_1$-resolution of $S_1/I_1$. Since the extension $S_1 \subset S$ is flat, it follows that the complex $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}' \otimes_{S_1} S$ is a minimal free resolution of $S/I_1S$ over $S$. Then by [4, Corollary 3.3.9], the dual complex $\mathbb{F}^* = \operatorname{Hom}_S(\mathbb{F}, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{S_1}(\mathbb{F}', S_1) \otimes_{S_1} S$ is a minimal free resolution of $\omega_1$.

Similarly, if we start with

$$\mathbb{G}' : \quad 0 \to G'_q \to G'_{q-1} \to \cdots \to G'_0 \to S_2/I_2 \to 0$$

a minimal free $S_2$-resolution of $S_2/I_2$, the complex $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}' \otimes_{S_2} S$ is a minimal free resolution of $S/I_2S$ over $S$, and $\mathbb{G}^*$ minimally resolves $\omega_2$ over $S$.

By [40, Theorem 2.7.2], $H_i(\mathbb{F} \otimes_S \mathbb{G}) = \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(S/I_1S, S/I_2S)$ for all $i$. We may apply Proposition 4.1 to the $S_1$-module $S_1/I_1$ and to the $S_2$-module $S_2/I_2$ and we obtain

that the complex $\mathbb{F} \otimes_S \mathbb{G}$ is acyclic and that it minimally resolves $S/I_1S \otimes_S S/I_2S \cong S/(I_1, I_2)S \cong R$ over $S$. Thus $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_S R = \operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_1} R_1 + \operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_2} R_2$.

On the other hand, by the formula of Hoa and Tam in [21, Theorem 1.3] we have that $\dim R = \dim R_1 + \dim R_2$, hence $R$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. This implies that $(\mathbb{F} \otimes_S \mathbb{G})^*$ is a minimal $S$-free resolution of $\omega_R$.

From the isomorphism $(\mathbb{F} \otimes_S \mathbb{G})^* \cong \mathbb{F}^* \otimes_S \mathbb{G}^*$ we derive that $\mathbb{F}^* \otimes_S \mathbb{G}^*$ is acyclic, hence it resolves (minimally) the $S$-module $\omega_1 \otimes_S \omega_2$. Since

$$\omega_1 \otimes_S \omega_2 \cong \omega_{R_1} \otimes_{S_1} S \otimes_S \omega_2 \cong \omega_{R_1} \otimes_{S_1} (S_1 \otimes_K S_2) \otimes_{S_2} \omega_{R_2} \cong \omega_{R_1} \otimes_K \omega_{R_2},$$

we get that $\omega_R \cong \omega_{R_1} \otimes_K \omega_{R_2}$. Now using Proposition 4.1 we obtain that $\operatorname{tr}_R(\omega_R) = \operatorname{tr}_{R_1}(\omega_{R_1})R \cdot \operatorname{tr}_{R_2}(\omega_{R_2})R$. □

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let $R_1$ and $R_2$ be positively graded Cohen-Macaulay $K$-algebras over a field $K$ with $\operatorname{emb\,dim} R_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let $R = R_1 \otimes_K R_2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$R$ is nearly Gorenstein;*
(ii) *$R$ is Gorenstein;*
(iii) *$R_1$ and $R_2$ are Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* (i) ⇒ (iii): Since $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_1})R \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_2})R$, our assumption implies that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_1})R \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_2})R$. Suppose $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_i}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{R_i}$ for some $i$, say $i = 1$. Then $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{R_1}R \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_R$. This is a contradiction since the last inclusion is proper if $\operatorname{emb\,dim} R_2 > 0$. Thus $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_{R_i}) = R_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and this implies that $R_i$ is Gorenstein for $i = 1, 2$, see Lemma 2.1.

(iii) ⇒ (ii): The condition implies that $\omega_{R_i} \cong R_i$ (up to a shift in the grading). Therefore, $\omega_R \cong R_1 \otimes_K R_2$ (up to a shift in the grading). Hence $R$ is Gorenstein.

(ii) ⇒ (i) is obvious. □

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let $R$ be a positively graded $K$-algebra and $S = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with $n \geq 1$ be the polynomial ring over $R$. Then $S$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $R$ is Gorenstein.*

There is a local analogue to this corollary.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let $R$ be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring admitting a canonical module, and let $S = R[|x_1, \ldots, x_n|]$ with $n \geq 1$ be the formal power series over $R$. Then $S$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $R$ is Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* If $R$ is Gorenstein, then $S$ is Gorenstein and hence nearly Gorenstein. For the converse implication, observe that $\omega_S = \omega_R \otimes_R S$. Thus we may apply Lemma 1.5 and see that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_S) = \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)S$. If $R$ is not Gorenstein, then $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_R$, and hence $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_S) = \mathfrak{m}_R S \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}_S$. Therefore, $S$ is not nearly Gorenstein. □

4.2. **Veronese subalgebras.** Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i$ be a standard graded $K$-algebra over the field $K$ and $d$ a positive integer. The ring $R^{(d)} = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{id}$ is called the $d$-th *Veronese subring* of $R$. We will consider $R^{(d)}$ as a standard graded $K$-algebra by letting the elements (generators) in $R_d$ have degree 1.

Let $M_j = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} R_{di+j}$ for $j = 0, \dots, d-1$. Then $R = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{d-1} M_j$, and $M_j$ is a finite $R^{(d)}$-module. This explains why the $M_j$'s are called the $d$-th *Veronese submodules* of $R$. We refer to [4, Exercise 3.6.21] and [15] for more background on Veronese algebras and their properties.

We denote $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{(d)}$ the maximal graded ideal of $R$, and of $R^{(d)}$, respectively.

In the sequel we show that the trace of any $d$-th Veronese module of $R$ contains $\mathfrak{m}^{(d)}$.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let $R$ be a standard graded $K$-algebra over an infinite field $K$ and $d$ a positive integer. Then $\mathfrak{m}^{(d)} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}_{R^{(d)}}(M_j)$ for any $d$-th Veronese submodule $M_j$ of $R$.*

*Proof.* If $d = 1$, there is only one Veronese submodule $M_0 = R^{(d)}$ and its trace equals $R^{(d)} = R$.

Assume $d > 1$. Since the field $K$ is infinite, we may choose a $K$-basis $x_1, \dots, x_n$ for $R_1$ consisting of nonzero divisors. These generate the algebra $R$, as well. Fix $0 \leq j < d$. As an $R^{(d)}$-module, $M_j$ is generated by the set $\mathcal{G} = \{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} : \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = j\}$. Since $x_1$ is regular on $R$, the $R^{(d)}$-module $I = x_1^{d-j} M_j$ is isomorphic to $M_j$, hence they have the same trace ideal. Note that $I$ is an ideal of $R^{(d)}$ which contains the regular element $x_1^d$, and therefore the formula in Lemma 1.1 applies.

For a vector of nonnegative integers $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ we denote $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ and $x^\alpha = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$. Given a product $x^\alpha$ with $|\alpha| = d$, there exist vectors $\beta, \gamma$ with nonnegative integer entries such that $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ and $|\beta| = j$. We may write

$$x^\alpha = (x_1^{d-j} \cdot x^\beta) \cdot (x^\gamma / x_1^{d-j}).$$

Clearly, $x_1^{d-j} \cdot x^\beta$ is in $I$ and it is regular on $R$ (and on $R^{(d)}$). Hence

$$x^\gamma / x_1^{d-j} = x^\alpha / (x_1^{d-j} \cdot x^\beta) \in Q(R^{(d)}).$$

Since $\frac{x^\gamma}{x_1^{d-j}}(x_1^{d-j}\mathcal{G}) \subseteq R^{(d)}$, we conclude that $x^\alpha \in I \cdot I^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{(d)} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}_{R^{(d)}}(M_j)$, which finishes the proof. □

**Corollary 4.7.** *If $R$ is a Gorenstein standard graded $K$-algebra over an infinite field $K$, then all its Veronese subalgebras are nearly Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* Goto and Watanabe in [15] showed that if $R$ is Gorenstein, then up to a shift $\omega_{R^{(d)}} \cong M_j$ for some $j \in 1, \dots, d-1$. This fact together with Theorem 4.6 proves the statement. □

Note that in the proof of Theorem 4.6 the assumption on the field $K$ to be infinite was only required to insure the existence of a system of algebra generators of degree 1. This observation proves the next corollary.

**Corollary 4.8.** *The Veronese subalgebras of a polynomial ring over any field are nearly Gorenstein.*

4.3. **Squarefree Veronese subalgebras.** For $1 \leq d \leq n$, the $d$-th squarefree Veronese subalgebra of $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the subalgebra $R_{n,d}$ generated by the set $V_{n,d}$ of squarefree monomials in $S$ of degree $d$. As with the regular Veronese, $R_{n,d}$ is standard graded by letting $\deg m = 1$ for all $m \in V_{n,d}$. Moreover, $R_{n,d}$ is normal (cf. [38], and [35]), hence Cohen-Macaulay.

In contrast to the regular Veronese subalgebras, we show that $R_{n,d}$ is not nearly Gorenstein unless it is already Gorenstein. By work of De Negri and Hibi in [6] (see also [5, Corollary 2.14]) the latter property holds if and only if

$$(n, d) \in \{(n, 1), (n, n-1), (2d, d)\}.$$

The monomials in $R_{n,d}$ correspond to lattice points in some rational cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and by Danilov's Theorem the canonical module $\omega_{R_{n,d}}$ is the $K$-span of the monomials in the relative interior of $C$. We refer to [4, Chapter 6] for the necessary background. The next results of Bruns, Vasconcelos and Villarreal in [5] describe the lattice points of the cone $C$ and a generating system for $\omega_{R_{n,d}}$.

**Lemma 4.9.** *(*[5, Proposition 2.4]*) A monomial $m = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ is in $R_{n,d}$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv 0 \bmod d, \text{ and}$$

$$da_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j, \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

**Theorem 4.10.** *(*[5, Theorem 2.6]*) If $n \geq 2d \geq 4$, the ideal $\omega_{R_{n,d}}$ is generated by the monomials $m = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ which satisfy the conditions:*

(i) $a_i \geq 1$ *and* $da_i \leq -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$, *for all* $i = 1, \ldots, n$;
(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv 0 \bmod d$;
(iii) $|\{i : a_i \geq 2\}| \leq d - 1$.

The restrictions on $n$ and $d$ in Theorem 4.10 do not leave out any interesting cases. If $d = 1$, then $R_{n,d} = S$. As noted in [5, Remark 2.13], the bijective map $\rho : V_{n,d} \to V_{n,n-d}$ given by $\rho(m) = x_1 \cdots x_n / m$ for all $m$ in $V_{n,d}$ induces a graded isomorphism between the $K$-algebras $R_{n,d}$ and $R_{n,n-d}$, so we may restrict our study to the case $n \geq 2d \geq 4$.

Our aim is to describe a generating set for the anti-canonical ideal of a squarefree Veronese algebra $R_{n,d}$. The following lemma is a partial result in this direction.

**Lemma 4.11.** *If $n > 2d \geq 4$, then*

$$(1/x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d} : \ 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leq n) R_{n,d} \subseteq \omega_{R_{n,d}}^{-1}.$$

*Equality holds if and only if $n = 2d + 1$.*

*Proof.* Let $f = 1/x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leq n$, and $m = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ a generator for $\omega_{R_{n,d}}$ as given by Theorem 4.10. Then $da_i \leq -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ for all $i$,

and since $d$ divides $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ we get

$$da_i \leq -d + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j, \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3}$$

Since $a_i > 0$ for all $i$, it follows that

$$mf = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d} x_{i_j}^{a_{i_j}-1} \right) \left( \prod_{i \notin \{i_1,\ldots,i_d\}} x_i^{a_i} \right)$$

is a monomial in $S$ of degree divisible by $d$. The inequalities (3) assure that the exponents of $mf$ satify the conditions in Lemma 4.9, therefore $mf \in R_{n,d}$. This confirms that $1/x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d} \in \omega_{R_{n,d}}^{-1}$.

We defer the discussion of the equality case after the proof of Theorem 4.12. □

For any monomial $u$ is $S$ we denote its vector of exponents by $\log u$. Even if $u$ is in $R_{n,d}$, its degree will be considered with respect to the standard grading on $S$ assigning to each variable the degree 1.

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let $n > 2d \geq 4$ . Then $\omega_{R_{n,d}}^{-1}$ is minimally generated by the fractions $u/x_1 \cdots x_n$, where $u$ is a monomial in $S$ such that either it is a product of $n-d$ distinct variables, or else, up to a permutation of the entries, $\log u$ belongs to the set $P_{n,d}$, where $P_{n,d}$ is the set of vectors of the form*

$$(\underbrace{c, \ldots, c}_{d+1}, b_{d+2}, \ldots, b_{n-d-c+1}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{d+c-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

*with $2 \leq c \leq n-2d$, $c \geq b_{d+2} \geq \cdots \geq b_{n-d-c+1} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=d+2}^{n-d-c+1} b_i = n-2d-c$.*

*Proof.* Let $R = R_{n,d}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ denote the set of generators of $\omega_R$ as given in Theorem 4.10.

*Step 1.* Note that by permuting the exponents of the variables of any monomial in $\mathcal{A}$ we get another monomial in $\mathcal{A}$. This observation together with (i) and (iii) in Theorem 4.10 assures that $x_1 \cdots x_n$ is the greatest common divisor (computed in $S$) of the monomials in $\mathcal{A}$.

*Step 2.* Since $\omega_R$ is generated by monomials in $R$, it follows that $\omega_R^{-1}$ is also generated by monomials in $K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$. If $f = u/v \in \omega_R^{-1}$ with $u$ and $v$ coprime polynomials in $S$, then $v$ divides the greatest common divisor of the monomials in $\mathcal{A}$, which is $x_1 \cdots x_n$. This shows that for the purpose of determining a generating set for $\omega_R^{-1}$, it is enough to consider fractions $f = u/(x_1 \cdots x_n)$ where $u$ is in the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{u \text{ monomial in } S : \deg u \equiv n \bmod d,\ u \cdot \omega_R \subseteq x_1 \cdots x_n R\}.$$

A priori, for $u \in \mathcal{B}$ the fraction $u/(x_1 \cdots x_n)$ is not necessarily in $Q(R)$.

*Step 3.* We identify the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ as follows. A monomial $u = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ is in $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if

$$x_1^{a_1+b_1-1} \cdots x_n^{a_n+b_n-1} \in R \text{ for all } x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This is equivalent, by Lemma 4.9, to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg u &\equiv n \bmod d, \quad \text{and} \\ db_i + (da_i + n - d) &\leq \deg u + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and } x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Letting

$$E = \max\{da_i + n - d - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j : 1 \leq i \leq n,\ x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

the condition (4) is equivalent to

$$\deg u \equiv n \bmod d, \text{ and } db_i + E \leq \deg u, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{5}$$

It immediately follows that if $u'$ is obtained from $u \in \mathcal{B}$ by permuting its exponents, then also $u' \in \mathcal{B}$.

*Step 4.* We claim that

$$E = n - 2d.$$

It is easy to check with Theorem 4.10 that

$$(\underbrace{n - 2d + 1, \ldots, n - 2d + 1}_{d-1}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n-d+1})$$

is the exponent of a monomial in $\mathcal{A}$, hence $E \geq n - 2d$.

On the other hand, $1/(x_1 \cdots x_d) \in \omega_R^{-1}$ by Lemma 4.11, hence $x_{d+1} \cdots x_n \in \mathcal{B}$. From (5) we get that $d + E \leq n - d$, which shows that $E = n - 2d$. Therefore (5) becomes

$$\deg u \equiv n \bmod d, \text{ and } db_i + (n - 2d) \leq \deg u, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{6}$$

*Step 5.* A consequence of (5) is that there are at least $d + 1$ distinct variables dividing $u$. Indeed, arguing by contradiction, if there are at most $d$ distinct variables dividing $u$ and say, $b_{d+1} = \cdots = b_n = 0$, we get by summing the inequality in (5) for $i = 1, \ldots, d$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} (db_i + E) \leq d \deg u = d \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i,$$

equivalently $dE \leq 0$, which is false.

*Step 6.* We define a partial order on $\mathcal{B}$ by letting $u \leq v$ if $v/u \in R$. Our next goal is to identify the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ minimal with respect to this partial order.

For $u = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ we set

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \{x_i : db_i + (n - 2d) = \deg u\}.$$

It follows from (6), arguing modulo $d$, that if for some $i$ we have $db_i + E < \deg u$, then $db_i + E \leq \deg u - d$.

We claim that

$$u \text{ is minimal in } \mathcal{B} \iff |\mathcal{I}(u)| \geq d + 1. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, if $|\mathcal{I}(u)| \leq d$, then there exists $m$ a squarefree monomial of degree $d$ which contains the variables in $\mathcal{I}(u)$. Then $u' = u/m$ verifies (6), hence $u' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $u$ is not minimal in $\mathcal{B}$.

On the other hand, if $|\mathcal{I}(u)| \geq d+1$, then no matter by which product of $d$ distinct variables we divide $u$ to obtain a monomial $u'$, for at least some $x_{i_0} \in \mathcal{I}(u)$ the inequality in (6) applied to $u'$ and $i_0$ does not hold. This confirms (7).

Based on (7), we describe the minimal elements in $\mathcal{B}$ as follows. From (6) we infer that $\deg u \geq n-d$, and equality holds if and only if $u$ is a product of $n-d$ distinct variables. These monomials are clearly minimal in $\mathcal{B}$.

Assume $u$ is minimal in $\mathcal{B}$ and $\deg u > n-d$. Since $\deg u \equiv n \bmod d$ we have $\deg u \geq n$. We may pick $i_1 < \cdots < i_{d+1}$ in $\mathcal{I}(u)$ and we let $c = b_{i_1} = \cdots = b_{i_{d+1}}$. Clearly, $c = \max\{b_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is greater than 1, otherwise $u = x_1 \cdots x_n$ for which $\mathcal{I}(u) = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Then

$$n - 2d = c + \sum_{j \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_{d+1}\}} b_j.$$

It follows that $2 \leq c \leq n-2d$ and that for at least $(n-d-1)-(n-2d-c) = d+c-1$ indices $j \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_{d+1}\}$ we have $b_j = 0$. Equivalently, up to a permutation of the entries, $\log u$ is in $P_{n,d}$.

*Step 7.* We claim that for any $u$ a minimal element in $\mathcal{B}$ we have that $f = u/(x_1 \cdots x_n)$ is in $Q(R)$. That would imply that these $f$'s generate $\omega_R^{-1}$ minimally.

We write $n = \ell d + r$ with $1 \leq r \leq d$ and we let $m$ be the product of some distinct $r$ variables dividing $u$. Since $n > 2d$, we get $n \geq 2d + r$, hence by (6) we obtain

$$db_i \leq \deg u - (n-2d) \leq \deg u - r, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

This implies that $u/m$ is in $R$, using Lemma 4.9. Clearly $(x_1 \cdots x_n)/m$ is also in $R$ as a product of $\ell d$ distinct variables, hence $f \in Q(R)$. This concludes the proof. □

We can now finish the proof of Lemma 4.11.

*Proof.* (of Lemma 4.11, continued) According to Theorem 4.12, the equality holds in Lemma 4.11 if and only if the set $P_{n,d}$ is empty.

As noted in the proof of Theorem 4.12, any vector in $P_{n,d}$ has at least $d+1$ non zero entries, and at least $d+1$ zero entries, hence $n \geq 2d+2$. This shows that $P_{n,d} = \emptyset$ for $n = 2d+1$. Conversely, if $n \geq 2d+2$, it is easy to check that

$$(\underbrace{n-2d, \ldots, n-2d}_{d+1}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-d-1}) \in P_{n,d},$$

hence $P_{n,d}$ is not empty in this case. □

**Example 4.13.** We computed the sets $P_{n,2}$ for small values of $n$. For brevity, we record only the non zero entries of the vectors in $P_{n,2}$, as is it clear how many zeroes one should add to reconstruct $\log u$.
$P_{5,2} = \emptyset$, $P_{6,2} = \{(2,2,2)\}$, $P_{7,2} = \{(2,2,2,1), (3,3,3)\}$,
$P_{8,2} = \{(2,2,2,2), (2,2,2,1,1), (3,3,3,1), (4,4,4)\}$,
$P_{9,2} = \{(2,2,2,1,1,1), (2,2,2,2,1), (3,3,3,1,1), (3,3,3,2), (4,4,4,1), (5,5,5)\}$,

$P_{10,2} = \{(2,2,2,2,2), (2,2,2,2,1,1), (2,2,2,1,1,1,1), (3,3,3,3), (3,3,3,2,1),$
$(3,3,3,1,1,1), (4,4,4,2), (4,4,4,1,1), (5,5,5,1), (6,6,6)\}$.

The next result shows that a squarefree Veronese subalgebras of $S$ is not nearly Gorenstein, unless it is already Gorenstein.

**Theorem 4.14.** *For $1 \leq d \leq n$ let $R = R_{n,d}$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$R$ is nearly Gorenstein;*
(ii) *$R$ is Gorenstein;*
(iii) *$d = 1$ or $d = n - 1$ or $n = 2d$.*

*Proof.* It is clear that (ii) implies (i). The equivalence between (ii) and (iii) was showed by De Negri and Hibi in [6], and also by Bruns, Vasconcelos and Villarreal in [5]. So, it is enough to prove that if $2 \leq 2d < n$, then $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}_R$. Indeed, if $m$ is a monomial generator for $\omega_R$ as given by Theorem 4.10, and $f = u/(x_1 \cdots x_n)$ is a generator for $\omega_R^{-1}$ as given by Theorem 4.12, then $\deg m \geq n$ and $\deg u \geq n - d$. Thus, $mf$ is a monomial of degree at least $n - d \geq d + 1$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}_R$. □

4.4. **Segre products.** If $R$ and $S$ are $\mathbb{N}$-graded $K$-algebras, their Segre product $T = R\sharp S$ is the graded $K$-algebra whose components are $T_i = R_i \otimes_K S_i$ for all $i$.

In this part we assume that $R$ and $S$ are standard graded Gorenstein rings. We further need that $T$ is Cohen–Macaulay. For example, if one assumes that $\dim R, \dim S \geq 2$, then $T$ is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $a(R), a(S) < 0$, see [15, Theorem 4.4.4]. Here $a(R') := -\min\{i \colon (\omega_{R'})_i \neq 0\}$ denotes the $a$-invariant of a graded Cohen–Macaulay $K$-algebra $R'$.

**Theorem 4.15.** *Let $R$ and $S$ be standard graded Gorenstein $K$-algebras of Krull dimension at least 2 with a-invariants $a(R) = r$ and $a(S) = s$, and assume that $T = R\sharp S$ is Cohen–Macaulay. Then the following hold:*

(i) *$\operatorname{tr}(\omega_T) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_T^{|r-s|}$;*
(ii) *if $T$ is a domain, then $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_T) = \mathfrak{m}_T^{|r-s|}$.*

*Proof.* (i) By [15, Theorem 4.3.1] of Goto and Watanabe one has that $\omega_T \cong \omega_R \sharp \omega_S$. Since $\omega_R \cong R(r)$ and $\omega_S \cong S(s)$, it follows that we may identify $\omega_T$ with $R(r)\#S(s)$. We may assume $s \geq r$. Then it can be seen that $\omega_T$ is generated as a $T$-module by $(\omega_T)_{-r} = R_0 \otimes_K S_{s-r}$. Each $f \in R_{s-r}$ induces for each $i$ a $K$-linear map

$$(\omega_T)_i = R_{i+r} \otimes S_{i+s} \to R_{i+s} \otimes S_{i+s} = T_{i+s}, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto fa \otimes b.$$

These $K$-linear maps compose to a $T$-linear map $f \colon \omega_T \to T$. The image of this map is generated by $f \otimes S_{s-r}$. Thus, as $f$ varies over all $f \in R_{s-r}$ we see that $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_T) \supseteq R_{s-r} \otimes S_{s-r}$. This yields the desired conclusion, since $\mathfrak{m}_T^{s-r}$ is generated by the elements of $R_{s-r} \otimes S_{s-r}$.

(ii) Since $T$ is a domain, $R$ is a domain, as well. We choose a non-zero element $f \in R_{s-r}$. Since $T$ is a domain, the $T$-module homomorphism $f \colon \omega_T \to T$, defined in (i) is injective. Therefore, $\omega_T$ is isomorphic to its image in $T$, and hence due to (i) it suffices to show that $I^{-1} \cdot I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_T^{s-r}$ for the ideal $I$ in $T$ generated by $f \otimes S_{s-r}$.

Since $I$ is a graded ideal, its inverse $I^{-1}$ is graded, as well. Let $x \in I^{-1}$, where $x = (g_1 \otimes g_2)/(h_1 \otimes h_2) = (g_1/h_1) \otimes (g_2/h_2)$ with $g_1 \otimes g_2 \in T_a$ and $h_1 \otimes h_2 \in T_b$.

Then $fg_1/h_1 \otimes g_2/h_2 S_{s-r} \subseteq T$. In particular, $g_2/h_2 \in J^{-1}$ where $J = \mathfrak{m}_S^{s-r}$. Since $\dim S \geq 2$, it follows that grade $J \geq 2$, so that $J^{-1} = S$. Therefore, $a - b \geq 0$, which implies that $xI \in \mathfrak{m}_T^{s-r}$. □

**Corollary 4.16.** *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 4.15.*

*If $|r - s| \leq 1$ then the Segre product $T = R\#S$ is nearly Gorenstein. Moreover, if $T$ is a nearly Gorenstein domain, then $|r - s| \leq 1$.*

**Remark 4.17.** If $R \otimes_K S$ is a domain, then $R \sharp S$ is also a domain being a subalgebra of $R \otimes_K S$. It is known from [3, Chapter 5, §17] that if the field $K$ is algebraically closed and $R$ and $S$ are domains, then so is $R \otimes_K S$.

In the special case that $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ and $S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_s]$ are polynomial rings and $r \geq s \geq 2$, the anti-canonical ideal of $T$ can be easily computed. We remark that $T$ may be identified with

$$K[x_i y_j : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s] \subset K[x, y].$$

Any monomial $u \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_s]$ may be uniquely written $u = u_x u_y$, where $u_x$ and $u_y$ are monomials in $R$, and respectively in $S$. After this identification, a $K$-basis of $T$ is given by the monomials $u = u_x u_y$ with $\deg u_x = \deg u_y$. Furthermore, by Theorem 4.15, since $a(R) = -r$ and $a(S) = -s$, the canonical module of $T$ is isomorphic to the ideal

$$I = (x_1^{r-s} y^\beta : \ |\beta| = r - s) \subset T.$$

**Proposition 4.18.** *With notation introduced, $I^{-1}$ is generated as a $T$-module by the fractions $x^\alpha / x_1^{r-s}$ with $0 \leq \alpha$ and $|\alpha| = r - s$.*

*Proof.* Let $f = x^\alpha / x_1^{r-s}$ with $0 \leq \alpha$ and $|\alpha| = r - s$. Then $f = (x^\alpha y_1^{r-s})/(x_1 y_1)^{r-s}$ is in $Q(T)$ and for any generator of $I$, $g = x_1^{r-s} y^\beta$ with $|\beta| = r - s$, clearly $fg = x^\alpha y^\beta$ is in $T$. Therefore, the given set of fractions is part of $I^{-1}$. We show that it generates it, too.

Let $u, v$ be coprime polynomials in $K[x, y]$ with $u/v \in I^{-1}$. Then $v$ divides $x_1^{r-s} y^\beta$ for all $\beta \geq 0$. Since $s \geq 2$ we get that $v$ divides $x_1^{r-s}$. As $T$ is a monomial subalgebra of $K[x, y]$, it follows that for determining a generating system of $I^{-1}$ it is enough to consider fractions of the form $f = u/x_1^{r-s}$ with $u$ a monomial in $K[x, y]$. Such an $f$ belongs to $Q(T)$ if and only if $\deg u_x = (r - s) + \deg u_y$ If that is the case, we may decompose $u_x = x^\alpha \cdot x^{\alpha'}$ with $\alpha, \alpha'$ vectors with nonnegative integer entries and $|\alpha| = r - s$. Letting $w = x^{\alpha'} u_y$, since $|\alpha'| = \deg u_y$ we get that $w \in T$. Therefore $f = (x^\alpha / x_1^{r-s}) w$, which shows that the given set of fractions generates $I^{-1}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

**Remark 4.19.** Proposition 4.18 implies that $\omega_T^{-1}$ is isomorphic to the ideal

$$J = (x^\alpha y_1^{r-s} : \ |\alpha| = r - s) \subset T.$$

## 5. Nearly Gorenstein Hibi rings

As an application of the results of the previous section on Segre products we will classify in this section the nearly Gorenstein Hibi rings.

Let $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ be a finite partially ordered set ("poset" for short). A *chain* of $P$ is a totally ordered subset of $P$. The *length* of a chain $C$ is $|C| - 1$. The *rank* of $P$ is the maximal length of chains of $P$ and it is denoted by $\mathrm{rank}(P)$. A poset $P$ is called *pure* if the length of each maximal chain of $P$ is equal to $\mathrm{rank}(P)$.

A *poset ideal* of $P$ is a subset $\alpha \subset P$ with the property that if $a \in \alpha$ and $b \in P$ with $b \leq a$, then $b \in \alpha$. In particular, the empty set, as well as $P$ itself, is a poset ideal. Let $\mathcal{J}(P)$ denote the set of poset ideals of $P$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are poset ideals of $P$, then each of $\alpha \cap \beta$ and $\alpha \cup \beta$ is again a poset ideal of $P$. Hence $\mathcal{J}(P)$ is a finite lattice ([17, p. 157]) ordered by inclusion.

A finite lattice $L$ is called *distributive* if, for all $a, b, c$ belonging to $L$, one has

$$(a \vee b) \wedge c = (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c),$$
$$(a \wedge b) \vee c = (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c).$$

For example, for an arbitrary finite poset $P$, the finite lattice $\mathcal{J}(P)$ is distributive. Furthermore, Birkhoff's fundamental structure theorem for finite distributive lattices ([17, Theorem 9.1.7]) guarantees the converse. More precisely, given a finite distributive lattice $L$, there is a unique finite poset $P$ with $L = \mathcal{J}(P)$.

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, s]$ denote the polynomial ring in $n+1$ variables over a field $K$. Given a poset ideal $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}(P)$, we introduce the squarefree monomial

$$u_\alpha = \left( \prod_{p_i \in \alpha} x_i \right) s$$

which belongs to $S$. In particular, $u_\emptyset = s$ and $u_P = x_1 \cdots x_n s$. In [19] the toric ring

$$\mathcal{R}_K[L] = K[\{ u_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{J}(P) \}] \subset S$$

is introduced and it is nowadays called *the Hibi ring of $L$ defined over $K$*. The toric ring $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is normal and Cohen–Macaulay of dimension $|P| + 1$, see [19]. It follows that the quotient field of $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is the rational function field $K(x_1, \ldots, x_n, s)$. Furthermore, the $a$-invariant $a(\mathcal{R}_K[L])$ of $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ coincides with $-(\mathrm{rank}(P) + 2)$.

Let $\widehat{P} = P \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ with $-\infty < a < +\infty$ for each $a \in P$. Write $\Omega(P)$ for the set of those order-reversing maps $\delta : \widehat{P} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\delta(+\infty) = 0$ and $\Omega^*(P)$ for the set of those strictly order-reversing maps $\delta : \widehat{P} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\delta(+\infty) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}(P)$ denote the set of those maps $\gamma : \widehat{P} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gamma(+\infty) = 0$ for which $\delta + \gamma \in \Omega(P)$ for each $\delta \in \Omega^*(P)$.

Given a map $\xi : \widehat{P} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\xi(+\infty) = 0$, we introduce the monomial

$$u^\xi = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\xi(p_i)} \right) s^{\xi(-\infty)}$$

which belongs to $S$. It is shown in [19] that $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ with $L = \mathcal{J}(P)$ is spanned by those monomials $u^\delta$ with $\delta \in \Omega(P)$. Let $\omega_{\mathcal{R}_K[L]}$ denote the ideal of $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$

which is generated by those monomials $u^\delta$ with $\delta \in \Omega^*(P)$. Then the ideal $\omega_{\mathcal{R}_K[L]}$ is isomorphic to the canonical ideal of $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$. It then follows that $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is Gorenstein if and only if $P$ is pure.

We now turn to the problem of finding a characterization on $P$ for which the toric ring $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ with $L = \mathcal{J}(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein. Given $a \in P$, we introduce the intervals $[-\infty, a] = \{ b \in \widehat{P} : -\infty \leq b \leq a \}$ and $[a, +\infty] = \{ b \in \widehat{P} : a \leq b \leq +\infty \}$ of $\widehat{P}$.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let $P$ be a finite poset and suppose that the toric ring $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ of the distributive lattice $L = \mathcal{J}(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein. Then, for each element $a \in P$, the intervals $[-\infty, a]$ and $[a, +\infty]$ of $\widehat{P}$ are pure.*

*Proof.* Let $a \in P$ for which $[-\infty, a]$ is nonpure and define $\rho \in \Omega(P)$ by setting $\rho(z) = 1$ if $z \in [-\infty, a]$ and $\rho(z) = 0$ if $z \notin [-\infty, a]$. Since $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is nearly Gorenstein, there exist $\delta \in \Omega^*(P)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(P)$ with $\rho = \delta + \gamma$. Since $[-\infty, a]$ is nonpure, there exist $y$ and $y'$ belonging to $[-\infty, a]$ for which $y'$ covers $y$ with $\delta(y) > \delta(y') + 1$. Since $y'$ covers $y$, it follows from [20, Corollary (2.8)] that there is $\delta' \in \Omega^*(P)$ with $\delta'(y) = \delta'(y') + 1$. Furthermore, since $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(P)$, one has $\delta' + \gamma \in \Omega(P)$. Now, since $(\delta + \gamma)(y) = (\delta + \gamma)(y') = 1$, it follows that $(\delta' + \gamma)(y) < (\delta' + \gamma)(y')$, which contradicts $\delta' + \gamma \in \Omega(P)$.

Let $a \in P$ for which $[a, +\infty]$ is nonpure and define $\rho \in \Omega(P)$ by setting $\rho(z) = 1$ if $z < a$ and $\rho(z) = 0$ if $z \not< a$. Again, since $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is nearly Gorenstein, there exist $\delta \in \Omega^*(P)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(P)$ with $\rho = \delta + \gamma$. Since $[a, +\infty]$ is nonpure, there exist $y$ and $y'$ belonging to $[a, +\infty]$ for which $y'$ covers $y$ with $\delta(y) > \delta(y') + 1$. Since $y'$ covers $y$, again by using [20, Corollary (2.8)], one has $\delta' \in \Omega^*(P)$ with $\delta'(y) = \delta'(y') + 1$. Furthermore, since $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(P)$, one has $\delta' + \gamma \in \Omega(P)$. Now, since $(\delta + \gamma)(y) = (\delta + \gamma)(y') = 0$, it follows that $(\delta' + \gamma)(y) < (\delta' + \gamma)(y')$, which contradicts $\delta' + \gamma \in \Omega(P)$. □

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let $P$ be a finite connected poset and suppose that, for each element $a \in P$, the intervals $[-\infty, a]$ and $[a, +\infty]$ of $\widehat{P}$ are pure. Then $P$ is pure.*

*Proof.* Let $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ denote the maximal elements of $P$. Then $(-\infty, a_1], \ldots, (-\infty, a_r]$ are pure, where $(-\infty, a_i] = \{ b \in P : b \leq a_i \}$. If $(-\infty, a_i] \cap (-\infty, a_j] \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{rank}((-\infty, a_i]) = \mathrm{rank}((-\infty, a_j])$. In fact, if $a \in (-\infty, a_i] \cap (-\infty, a_j]$, then the fact that $[-\infty, a]$ and $[a, +\infty]$ are pure guarantees $\mathrm{rank}((-\infty, a_i]) = \mathrm{rank}((-\infty, a_j])$. Since $P$ is connected, after rearranging $a_1, \ldots, a_s$, it follows that $(\cup_{i=1}^{j}(-\infty, a_i]) \cap (-\infty, a_{j+1}] \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq j < r$. Hence $\mathrm{rank}((-\infty, a_1]) = \cdots = \mathrm{rank}((-\infty, a_r])$. Since every maximal chain of $P$ belongs to one of $(-\infty, a_1], \ldots, (-\infty, a_r]$, it follows that $P$ is pure, as desired. □

Combined, Lemmata 5.1 and 5.2 guarantee the following.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let $P$ be a finite poset and suppose that the toric ring $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ of the distributive lattice $L = \mathcal{J}(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein. Then every connected components of $P$ is pure.*

In general, if a finite $P$ is the disjoint union of finite posets $P_1, \ldots, P_q$, then $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is the Segre product $\mathcal{R}_K[L_1]\sharp\mathcal{R}_K[L_2]\sharp\cdots\sharp\mathcal{R}_K[L_q]$, where $L = \mathcal{J}(P)$ and $L_i = \mathcal{J}(P_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let $P$ be a finite poset. Then the toric ring $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ of the distributive lattice $L = \mathcal{J}(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $P$ is the disjoint union of pure connected posets $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ such that $|\operatorname{rank}(P_i) - \operatorname{rank}(P_j)| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq q$.*

*Proof.* Let $P$ be the disjoint union of connected posets $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ and $L_i = \mathcal{J}(P_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Then $\mathcal{R}_K[L] = \mathcal{R}_K[L_1]\sharp\cdots\sharp\mathcal{R}_K[L_q]$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}_K[L_i]$ is Gorenstein if and only if $P_i$ is pure. Furthermore, $a(\mathcal{R}_K[L_i]) = -(\operatorname{rank}(P_i)+2)$. Hence the "if" part follows from Theorem 4.15. Now, Corollary 5.3 says that if $\mathcal{R}_K[L]$ is nearly Gorenstein, then each of $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ is pure. Thus the "only if" part follows again from Theorem 4.15. □

## 6. The one-dimensional case

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be a 1-dimensional local Cohen–Macaulay ring with canonical module $\omega_R$. The results and proofs of this section are equally valid for 1-dimensional positively graded $K$-algebras.

Barucci and Fröberg [2] introduced almost Gorenstein rings for one-dimensional local rings which are analytically unramified. We use here the description of almost Gorenstein rings due to Goto, Takahashi and Taniguchi [14] which allows an extension of this concept to higher dimensions. Goto et al. [14] call $R$ *almost Gorenstein* if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to R \to \omega_R \to C \to 0 \tag{8}$$

with $\mathfrak{m}C = 0$.

We have the following implication.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let $R$ be almost Gorenstein. Then $R$ is nearly Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* The exact sequence (8) yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega_R, R) \to R \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(C, R)$$

Let $f \in \omega_R$ be the image of $1 \in R$ under the map $R \to \omega_R$. Then the map $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega_R, R) \to R$ is defined by assigning to each $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega_R, R)$ the element $\varphi(f) \in R$. Thus $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ contains the image of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega_R, R)$ in $R$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ annihilates $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(C, R)$, this image is equal to $\mathfrak{m}$, and the desired result follows. □

**Remark 6.2.** (a) In [14] almost Gorenstein rings are defined also for higher dimensional local rings. The definition is similar to that in the 1-dimensional case. The only difference is that the module $C$ in the exact sequence $0 \to R \to \omega_R \to C \to 0$ should be an Ulrich module. In the case that $R$ is 1-dimensional, the Krull dimension of $C$ is equal to 0. Since an Ulrich module of dimension zero is annihilated by the maximal ideal, one recovers the definition of 1-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings.

In contrast to the 1-dimensional case, it does not follow in higher dimensions that an almost Gorenstein ring is nearly Gorenstein. Indeed, if $R$ is almost Gorenstein, then the formal power series ring $R[|x|]$ is again almost Gorenstein, see [14, Theorem 3.7]. On the other hand by Proposition 4.5, if $R$ is not Gorenstein, then $R[|x|]$ is not nearly Gorenstein.

(b) In general, the class of 1-dimensional nearly Gorenstein rings is much larger than that of 1-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings. Examples of 1-dimensional non-Gorenstein rings rings which are nearly Gorenstein, but not almost Gorenstein will be discussed in the next section. A simple example of this kind is the subring $R = K[|t^5, t^6, t^7|]$ of the formal power series ring $K[|t|]$. That $R$ is indeed nearly Gorenstein can be seen from the next result.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a 3-dimensional regular local ring, $I \subset S$ an ideal generated by 3 elements such that $R = S/I$ is a 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay domain. Let $A$ be the relation matrix of $I$. Then $R$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $I_1(A) = \mathfrak{n}$.*

*Proof.* The assumptions imply that $I$ has the resolution

$$0 \to S^2 \to S^3 \to I \to 0. \tag{9}$$

In particular, the type of $R$ is 2. Now we apply Corollary 3.5. □

Coming back to the example in Remark 6.2(b) we write $R = K[|t^5, t^6, t^7|]$ as a factor ring of $S = K[|x_1, x_2, x_3|]$ by considering the $K$-algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon\colon S \to R$ with $\varepsilon(x_1) = t^5$, $\varepsilon(x_2) = t^6$, $\varepsilon(x_3) = t^6$. The kernel $I$ of $\varepsilon$ is generated by

$$x_1^4 - x_2x_3^2, x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_3^3 - x_1^3x_2.$$

The relation matrix $A$ of this ideal is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3^2 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_1^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

Thus $I_1(A) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, and hence $R$ is nearly Gorenstein.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 6.3, $\omega_R$ is an ideal in $R$ and $\mu(\omega_R^{-1}) = 3$.*

*Proof.* In our situation $R$ is a domain of type 2, and we apply Corollary 3.4. □

Assume now that $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \subset (\widetilde{R}, \mathfrak{n})$ is an extension of local rings, where $\widetilde{R}$ is a finite $R$-module and a discrete valuation ring such that $\widetilde{R} \subseteq Q(R)$. We also assume that the inclusion map $R \to \widetilde{R}$ induces an isomorphism $R/\mathfrak{m} \to \widetilde{R}/\mathfrak{n}$. The set

$$\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} = \{x \in R : x\widetilde{R} \subseteq R\}$$

is called the *conductor* of this extension and it is an ideal of both $R$ and $\widetilde{R}$. With the notation introduced we have

**Proposition 6.5.** *For any ideal $I \subset R$ one has $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(I)$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$.*

*Proof.* There exists $f \in I$ such that $I\widetilde{R} = (f)\widetilde{R}$. Now let $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$. Then $(g/f)I \subseteq (g/f)I\widetilde{R} = g\widetilde{R} \subseteq R$. Thus $g/f \in I^{-1}$. Since $g = (g/f)f$ with $f \in I$, it follows that $g \in I^{-1} \cdot I = \mathrm{tr}(I)$. □

**Corollary 6.6.** *$R$ is nearly Gorenstein, if $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} = \mathfrak{m}$.*

For the rest of this section, the ideal quotients are computed in $Q(R) = Q(\widetilde{R})$. The following lemma will be used in the proof of Proposition 6.8.

**Lemma 6.7.** $\widetilde{R} = R : \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$

*Proof.* It is clear from the definition of $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$ that $\widetilde{R} \subseteq R : \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$.

For the reverse inclusion, let $f \in R : \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$. If $f \notin \widetilde{R}$, then since $Q(R) = Q(\widetilde{R})$ we may write $f = \varepsilon t^{-a}$ with $\varepsilon$ invertible in $\widetilde{R}$, $a$ positive integer and $t$ a generator of the maximal ideal of $\widetilde{R}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$ is an ideal in $\widetilde{R}$, there exists $b > 0$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} = (t^b)\widetilde{R}$.

The property $f \in R : \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$ now reads as $\varepsilon t^{-a}\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} \subseteq R$, or equivalently, that $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} \subseteq t^a R$. This implies that $b \geq a$. Since we may write $t^{b-1} = f \cdot g$ where $g = \varepsilon^{-1}t^{a+b-1}$ is clearly in $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$, it follows that $t^{b-1} \in R$.

We claim that $t^{b-1}\widetilde{R} \subseteq R$. This will then lead to a contradiction, since $t^{b-1} \notin \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$. It is clear that $t^{b-1}\mathfrak{n} = \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} \subseteq R$. Thus is suffices to show that if $\nu \in \widetilde{R}$ is a unit, then $\nu t^{b-1} \in R$. To prove this, we use our assumption that $R/\mathfrak{m} \to \widetilde{R}/\mathfrak{n}$ is an isomorphism. In order to simplify notation we may assume that $R/\mathfrak{m} = \widetilde{R}/\mathfrak{n}$. Then this implies that there exists $h \in R$ such that $\nu - h \in \mathfrak{n}$. Thus, $\nu = h + h_1$ with $h \in R$ and $h_1 \in \mathfrak{n}$, and therefore $\nu t^{b-1} = ht^{b-1} + h_1 t^{b-1}$. Since both summands on the right hand side of this equation belong to $R$, the claim follows.

This concludes the proof of the inclusion $R : \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} \subseteq \widetilde{R}$. □

Our next result gives several characterizations of the situation when $\mathrm{tr}(I) = \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$, in terms of the fractionary ideal $I^{-1}$. We first recall that for any fractionary ideal $J$ of $R$, the ideal quotient $J : J$ may be identified with the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}(J)$ of $J$, see [25, Lemma 3.14].

**Proposition 6.8.** *Let $I \subset R$ be an ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{tr}(I) = \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$.
(ii) $\mathrm{End}(I^{-1}) = \widetilde{R}$.
(iii) $I^{-1} \cong \widetilde{R}$.

*Proof.* (i) ⇒ (ii): If $\mathrm{tr}(I) = \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$, then using Lemma 6.7 and the remark following it, we get

$$\widetilde{R} = R : \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} = R : (I \cdot I^{-1}) = (R : I) : I^{-1} = \mathrm{End}(I^{-1}).$$

(ii) ⇒ (i): Suppose that $\mathrm{tr}(I) \neq \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$ is properly contained in $\mathrm{tr}(I)$. It follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$ is properly contained in $\mathrm{tr}(I)\widetilde{R}$. Let $t$ be generator of the

maximal ideal of $\widetilde{R}$. Then there exist integers $a < b$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(I)\widetilde{R} = t^a\widetilde{R}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R} = t^b\widetilde{R}$. Clearly, $t^b \in \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}\widetilde{R} = \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$. Since $\operatorname{tr}(I)\widetilde{R}$ is a principal ideal, there exists $f \in \operatorname{tr}(I)$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(I)\widetilde{R} = (f)\widetilde{R}$. Therefore, there exists $u$ invertible in $\widetilde{R}$ such that $t^a = u \cdot f$.

Since by assumption $\widetilde{R} = \operatorname{End}(I^{-1})$ (which is $R : \operatorname{tr}(I)$), we obtain that $t^a \in R$. We may write $t^{b-1} = t^{b-a-1} \cdot t^a$, where $t^{b-a-1} \in \widetilde{R}$ and $t^a \in \operatorname{tr}(I)$. Using again that $\widetilde{R} = R : \operatorname{tr}(I)$, it follows that $t^{b-1} \in R$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6.7 we obtain $t^{b-1} \in \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): If $\operatorname{End}(I^{-1}) = \widetilde{R}$, then $I^{-1}\widetilde{R} = I^{-1}$. Since any nonzero $\widetilde{R}$-ideal is isomorphic to $\widetilde{R}$, the assertion follows.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. □

For an $R$-module $M$ we let $e(M)$ denote its multiplicity.

**Corollary 6.9.** *Let $R$ be as in Proposition 6.8, and assume in addition that $R$ is of the form $R = S/J$ with $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ regular local ring of dimenson 3 and $J \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$.*

*If $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$ then $e(R) = \mu(J)$. In particular, if $R$ is an almost complete intersection, then $R$ has minimal multiplicity.*

*Proof.* Since $R$ is a 1-dimensional domain, it it Cohen-Macaulay and $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_S(R) = 1$. Thus $J$ has a minimal presentation $0 \to S^{g-1} \to S^g \to J$, where $g = \mu(J)$.

Now Proposition 6.8(iii) together with $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{R}/R}$ imply that $\omega_R^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{R}$ are isomorphic $R$-modules, and they must have the same number of minimal generators over $R$. Hence $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\widetilde{R}/\mathfrak{m}\widetilde{R}) = g$, since $\mu(\omega_R^{-1}) = g$, by Corollary 3.4.

As $\widetilde{R}$ is a discrete valuation ring, there exists $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}\widetilde{R} = f\widetilde{R}$. Since $\widetilde{R}$ is a finitely generated $R$-module of rank 1, it follows that $e(R) = e(\widetilde{R})$, see [4, Corollary 4.7.9]. Now $e(\widetilde{R}) = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}^k\widetilde{R}/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}\widetilde{R}$ for $k \gg 0$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^k\widetilde{R}/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}\widetilde{R} = f^k\widetilde{R}/f^{k+1}\widetilde{R} \cong \widetilde{R}/f\widetilde{R} \cong \widetilde{R}/\mathfrak{m}\widetilde{R}$, we conclude from the above considerations that $e(R) = g$, as desired.

If $R$ is an almost complete intersection, then $\mu(J) = \operatorname{height}(J) + 1 = \dim S$, hence $e(R) = \operatorname{emb\,dim} R$. □

## 7. The trace of the canonical ideal of a numerical semigroup ring

Let $H$ be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_e$ with $e > 1$. We write $H = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_e \rangle$. The number $e$ is called the *embedding dimension* of $H$ and the number $n_1$ the *multiplicity* of $H$. One always has $n_1 \leq e$. We say that $H$ has *minimal multiplicity* if $n_1 = e$. In this case, one also says that $H$ has *maximal embedding dimension*, cf. [33].

The elements in the set $G(H) = \mathbb{N} \setminus H$ are called the *gaps* of $H$. Unless otherwise stated we will assume that $\gcd(n_1, \ldots, n_e) = 1$. Then $|G(H)| < \infty$, and there exists a largest integer $\mathrm{F}(H)$, called the *Frobenius number* of $H$, such that $\mathrm{F}(H) \notin H$.

We denote by $M$ the semigroup ideal $H \setminus \{0\}$. The elements $f \in G(H)$ with $f + M \in H$ are called *pseudo-Frobenius numbers*. The set of pseudo-Frobenius

numbers will be denoted by $\mathrm{PF}(H)$. The cardinality of $\mathrm{PF}(H)$ is called the *type* of $H$, denoted $\mathrm{type}(H)$.

We fix a field $K$. The positively graded $K$-subalgebra $K[H] = K[t^{n_1}, \ldots, t^{n_e}]$ of $K[t]$ is the semigroup ring of $H$. Its graded maximal ideal is $\mathfrak{m} = (t^{n_1}, \ldots, t^{n_e})$. The embedding dimension (resp. multiplicity) of $H$ is also the embedding dimension (resp. multiplicity) of $K[H]$ in the algebraic sense. The polynomial ring $K[t]$ is a finite module over $K[H]$ and is the integral closure of $K[H]$ in its quotient field. $K[t]/K[H]$ is a module of finite length with $K$-basis $\{t^a\colon\ a \in G(H)\}$.

We may write $K[H] \cong S/I_H$, where $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_e]$ is the polynomial ring and where $I_H$ is the kernel of the $K$-algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon\colon S \to K[H]$ with $x_i \mapsto t^{n_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, e$. If we assign to each $x_i$ the degree $n_i$, then $\varepsilon$ becomes a homomorphism of graded $K$-algebras and $I_H$ a graded ideal.

The canonical module $\omega_{K[H]}$ of $K[H]$ is the fractionary $K[H]$-ideal generated by the elements $t^{-f}$ with $f \in \mathrm{PF}(H)$, see [10, Exercise 21.11]. Therefore, the Cohen-Macaulay type of $K[H]$ is equal to $\mathrm{type}(H)$. In particular, $K[H]$ is Gorenstein if and only if $\mathrm{PF}(H) = \{\mathrm{F}(H)\}$. Kunz [24] showed that $K[H]$ is Gorenstein if and only if $H$ is *symmetric*, i.e. for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ either $x \in H$, or $\mathrm{F}(H) - x \in H$.

Let $\mathrm{PF}(H) = \{f_1, \ldots, f_{\tau-1}, \mathrm{F}(H)\}$, with $f_i < f_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i < \tau - 2$. It is known by Nari [27] that $K[H]$ is almost Gorenstein, if and only if

$$f_i + f_{\tau-i} = \mathrm{F}(H) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \tau/2 \rfloor. \tag{10}$$

The semigroup $H$ is called *almost symmetric* if $K[H]$ is almost Gorenstein, and $H$ is called *nearly Gorenstein*, if $K[H]$ is nearly Gorenstein.

A subset $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is called a *relative ideal* of $H$ if $I + H \subseteq I$ and $h + I \subseteq H$ for some $h \in H$. If moreover $I \subseteq H$, then $I$ is called an *ideal* of $H$.

Let $\Omega_H$ and $\Omega_H^{-1}$ be the set of exponents of the monomials in $\omega_{K[H]}$, and in $\omega_{K[H]}^{-1}$ respectively. Then $\Omega_H$ and $\Omega_H^{-1}$ are relative ideals of $H$ called the canonical, respectively the anti-canonical ideal of $H$. We define the *trace* of $H$ as $\mathrm{tr}(H) = \Omega_H + \Omega_H^{-1}$. It is clear that $\mathrm{tr}(H)$ is an ideal in $H$ consisting of the exponents of the monomials in $\mathrm{tr}(K[H])$.

In this notation, $H$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $M \subseteq \mathrm{tr}(H)$.

The following result shows that a numerical semigroup generated by an arithmetic sequence is nearly Gorenstein. We also characterize when such semigroups are almost symmetric, taking into account that the symmetric case was known from work of Gimenez, Sengupta and Srinivasan in [13].

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let $e > 2$, and $H = \langle a, a+d, \ldots, a+(e-1)d\rangle$ with $a, d$ coprime nonnegative integers and $e \le a$. Then*

(a) *$H$ is nearly Gorenstein;*
(b) *$H$ is symmetric if and only if $a \equiv 2 \bmod (e-1)$;*
(c) *$H$ is almost symmetric if and only if $a = e$ or $a \equiv 2 \bmod (e-1)$.*

*Proof.* It is known from [13, Theorem 4.7] that $\tau = \mathrm{type}(H)$ is the unique integer $1 \le \tau \le e-1$ such that $a = k(e-1)+\tau+1$ with $k$ integer. Equivalently, $k = \lfloor \frac{a-2}{e-1} \rfloor$.

Tripathi [36, Theorem on page 3] shows that

$$\mathrm{PF}(H) = \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{x-1}{e-1} \right\rfloor + dx : a - \tau \le x \le a-1 \right\}.$$

For $a-\tau \le x \le a-1$ we get $k(e-1) \le x-1 \le k(e-1)+(\tau-1)$, hence $\lfloor \frac{x-1}{e-1} \rfloor = k$. This implies that $\mathrm{F}(H) = ak + d(a-1)$ and

$$\mathrm{PF}(H) = \{\mathrm{F}(H) - (\tau-1)d, \ldots, \mathrm{F}(H) - d, \mathrm{F}(H)\}, \tag{11}$$

hence the canonical ideal $\Omega_H$ is generated by

$$\mathcal{W} = \{-\mathrm{F}(H), -\mathrm{F}(H)+d, \ldots, -\mathrm{F}(H)+(\tau-1)d\}.$$

For part (a) we consider the set

$$\mathcal{W}' = \{\mathrm{F}(H)+a, \mathrm{F}(H)+a+d, \ldots, \mathrm{F}(H)+a+(e-\tau)d\} \subset H.$$

An element in $\mathcal{W}+\mathcal{W}'$ is of the form $a+(i+j)d$ with $0 \le i \le \tau-1$ and $1 \le j \le e-\tau$. This way we obtain the generators of $H$: $a, a+d, \ldots, a+(e-1)d$, which shows that $\mathcal{W}' \subset \Omega_H^{-1}$ and $\Omega_H + \Omega_H^{-1} \supseteq M$. Equivalently, $H$ is nearly Gorenstein.

Part (b) is known and may be traced back to [13, Theorem 2.2] or (less explicitly in) [30]. The statement is an immediate consequence of the fact that $K[H]$ is Gorenstein if and only if $\tau = 1$.

For part (c), using (b), it is enough to treat the case of $H$ being almost symmetric, but not symmetric. This is equivalent (using (10) and (11)) to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{F}(H) - (\tau-1)d) + (\mathrm{F}(H) - d) &= \mathrm{F}(H), \text{ which is equivalent to} \\ \mathrm{F}(H) &= \tau d. \end{aligned}$$

After we substitute the values of $\mathrm{F}(H)$ and $\tau$ in the previous equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} ak + d(a-1) &= (a-1-k(e-1))d, \\ k(a+d(e-1)) &= 0, \\ k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e \le a$ and by the way $k$ was defined, we may express $k = \lfloor \frac{a-2}{e-1} \rfloor$. Therefore $k = 0$ if and only if $a = e$. □

In the following we present a class of numerical semigroups which are nearly Gorenstein if and only if they are almost symmetric. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that $H$ is minimally generated by $n_1 < \cdots < n_e$.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let $H = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_e \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup with minimal multiplicity. Then $\mathrm{PF}(H) = \{n_2 - n_1, \ldots, n_e - n_1\}$. In particular, $\mathrm{F}(H) = n_e - n_1$.*

*Proof.* The Apéry set of $H$ with respect to an element $a \in H$ is the set

$$\mathrm{Ap}(a, H) = \{h \in H : \ h - a \notin H\}.$$

The number of elements of $\mathrm{Ap}(H, a)$ is equal to $a$. Thus, $\mathrm{Ap}(n_1, H) = \{0, n_2, \ldots, n_e\}$ since $H$ has minimal multiplicity, which means that $n_1 = e$. For any $f \in \mathrm{PF}(H)$ one has $f + n_1 \in \mathrm{Ap}(n_1, H)$, and conversely, if $h \in \mathrm{Ap}(n_1, H)$, then $h - n_1 \in \mathrm{PF}(H)$ if and only $h + n_i \notin \mathrm{Ap}(n_1, H)$ for all $i$. The elements in $\mathrm{Ap}(n_1, H)$ having this property are exactly the elements $n_2, \ldots, n_e$. The desired result follows. □

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup with minimal multiplicity. Then $H$ is almost symmetric if and only if*

$$n_i + n_{e-i+1} = n_e + n_1 \quad \textit{for} \quad i = 2, \dots, \lfloor e/2 \rfloor.$$

*Proof.* The result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7.2 and of Nari's characterization (10) of almost symmetric numerical semigroups. □

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let $H$ be a numerical semigroup with minimal multiplicity. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$H$ is almost symmetric.*
(ii) *$H$ is nearly Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* Since (i) ⇒ (ii) is always valid, as shown in Proposition 6.1, it suffices to show that (ii) ⇒ (i). It follows from Lemma 7.2 that $\Omega_H$ is generated as a relative ideal of $H$ by $-n_e + n_1, \dots, -n_2 + n_1$. Since $H$ is nearly Gorenstein, we get $n_1 \in \operatorname{tr}(H)$, and hence there exists $a \in H$ such that

($\alpha$) $-n_i + n_1 + a \in H$ for $i = 2, \dots, e$;
($\beta$) $-n_j + n_1 + a = n_1$ for some $j$.

Condition ($\beta$) implies that $a = n_j$, and then ($\alpha$) implies that $-n_i + n_1 + n_j \in H$ for $i = 2, \dots, e$. Suppose that $j < e$. Then $-n_e + n_1 + n_j < n_1$. Since $-n_e + n_1 + n_j \in H$, we must have $-n_e + n_1 + n_j = 0$. But then $n_e = n_1 + n_j$, a contradiction. Thus we see that $j = e$, and hence ($\alpha$) implies that

$$n_1 + n_e = n_i + h_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 2, \dots, e$$

with $n_e > h_2 > \cdots > h_e = n_1 > 0$ and $h_i \in H$. Since $h_i - n_1 = n_e - n_i \notin H$ for $i < e$, we see that $h_i \in \operatorname{Ap}(n_1, H) = \{0, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_e\}$ for $2 \le i < e$. This implies that $h_i = n_{e-i+1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, e$, and hence

$$n_1 + n_e = n_i + n_{e-i+1} \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, \lfloor e/2 \rfloor.$$

It follows from Corollary 7.3 that $H$ is almost symmetric, as desired. □

The semigroup ring $K[H]$ is 1-dimensional, so its canonical trace ideal is either the whole ring, or it is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Equivalently, $K[H]/\operatorname{tr}(\omega_{K[H]})$ is a finite dimensional vector space with a $K$-basis given by $\{t^h : h \in H \setminus \operatorname{tr}(H)\}$. We define the *residue* of $H$ as the residue of $K[H]$, namely

$$\operatorname{res}(H) = \dim_K K[H]/\operatorname{tr}(\omega_{K[H]}) = |H \setminus \operatorname{tr}(H)|. \tag{12}$$

Thus $\operatorname{res}(H) = 0$ means that $H$ is symmetric, and $\operatorname{res}(H) \le 1$ if and only if $H$ is nearly Gorenstein.

The conductor of the extension $K[H] \subseteq K[t]$ is the ideal

$$\mathcal{C}_{K[t]/K[H]} = (t^h : h > \mathrm{F}(H))K[H],$$

which explains why the quantity $c(H) := \mathrm{F}(H) + 1$ is named the conductor of $H$. We denote $\mathcal{C}_H = \{h : t^h \in \mathcal{C}_{K[t]/K[H]}\}$, which is an ideal in $H$ minimally generated by $c(H), c(H) + 1, \dots, c(H) + n_1 - 1$. Proposition 6.5 applied to $K[H]$ gives the following result.

**Proposition 7.5.** *For any numerical semigroup $H$ one has*

$$\mathcal{C}_H \subseteq \mathrm{tr}(H) \subseteq H.$$

*If $H$ is not symmetric then $\mathcal{C}_H \subseteq \mathrm{tr}(H) \subseteq M$.*

As a corollary we obtain an upper bound for $\mathrm{res}(H)$.

We define the set of *non-gaps* of $H$ to be $NG(H) = \{x \in H : x < \mathrm{F}(H)\}$ and we denote $n(H) = |NG(H)|$.

**Corollary 7.6.** *For any numerical semigroup $H$ one has $\mathrm{res}(H) \leq n(H)$, with equality if and only if $\mathrm{tr}(H) = \mathcal{C}_H$.*

*Proof.* The desired inequality follows from the observation that

$$n(H) = |NG(H)| = |H \setminus \mathcal{C}_H| \geq |H \setminus \mathrm{tr}(H)| = \mathrm{res}(H). \quad \square$$

The map $\rho : NG(H) \to G(H)$ given by $\rho(x) = \mathrm{F}(H) - x$ for all $x$ in $NG(H)$ is well defined and injective. Also, $|NG(H)| + |G(H)| = \mathrm{F}(H) + 1$, hence denoting $g(H) = |G(H)|$ we have $n(H) \leq g(H)$.

Numerical experiments with GAP ([12]) indicate that the bound in Corollary 7.6 might be improved. We formulate the following question.

**Question 7.7.** *Given a numerical semigroup $H$, is it true that*

$$\mathrm{res}(H) \leq g(H) - n(H)?$$

This question has a positive answer for symmetric semigroups: by [11, Lemma 1(f)] $H$ is symmetric if and only if $n(H) = g(H)$. In Proposition 7.10 we also confirm Question 7.7, when $H$ is 3-generated.

Next, we present a family of numerical semigroups $H$ such that $\mathcal{C}_H = \mathrm{tr}(H)$.

**Example 7.8.** For the integers $m > 1$ and $q > 0$ we let

$$H = \langle m, qm + 1, qm + 2, \ldots, qm + m - 1 \rangle.$$

This is a semigroup with minimal multiplicity, hence by Lemma 7.2 we get that $\mathrm{PF}(H) = \{(q-1)m+1, (q-1)m+2, \ldots, qm-1\}$, a list of $m-1$ consecutive integers. Let $x \in \Omega_H^{-1}$, i.e. $-\mathrm{PF}(H)+x \subset H$. This can happen only if $x-\mathrm{F}(H) = x-qm+1 \geq qm$, equivalently $x \geq 2qm - 1$. Consequently, $\mathrm{tr}(H) = \{x : x \geq qm\} = \mathcal{C}_H$, and $\mathrm{res}(H) = |\{0, m, \ldots, (q-1)m\}| = q$.

When $H$ is 3-generated, the results in Section 3 can be applied to obtain a simple formula of $\mathrm{res}(H)$.

Assume $H$ is minimally generated by $n_1, n_2, n_3$, not necessarily listed increasingly. Let $\varphi : S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3] \to K[H]$ the algebra map given by $\varphi(x_i) = t^{n_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 3$. Then $\ker(\varphi) = I_H$, the defining ideal of $K[H]$.

It is proven in [16] that $H$ is symmetric, equivalently $K[H]$ is a complete intersection, if and only if, up to a permutation, $d = \gcd(n_1, n_2) > 1$ and $n_3 \in \langle n_1/d, n_2/d \rangle$.

Assume $H$ is not symmetric. We recall from [16] how to compute the ideal $I_H$ in this case. We find the positive integers $c_1, c_2, c_3$ minimal with the property that there exist nonnegative integers $a_i, b_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 n_1 &= b_2 n_2 + a_3 n_3, \\ c_2 n_2 &= a_1 n_1 + b_3 n_3, \\ c_3 n_3 &= b_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Such $a_i, b_i$ are positive, unique, and $c_i = a_i + b_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 3$. In this notation, the ideal $I_H$ is the ideal of maximal minors of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{a_1} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3} \\ x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{b_3} & x_1^{b_1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

that we call the *structure matrix* of the semigroup $H$.

It is noticed in [29, page 69] that one can recover $n_1, n_2, n_3$ from the matrix $A$ by computing the $K$-vector space dimension for the isomorphic rings

$$K[H]/(t^{n_1}) \cong S/(x_1, I_H) \cong K[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{a_2+b_2}, x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3}, x_3^{a_3+b_3}),$$

and the other two cases, see [33, Lemma 10.23] for a different approach. Namely, we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= a_2 a_3 + b_2 a_3 + b_2 b_3, \\ n_2 &= a_1 a_3 + a_1 b_3 + b_1 b_3, \\ n_3 &= a_1 a_2 + b_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It follows from the Hilbert-Burch theorem ([4, Theorem 1.4.17]) that the transpose $A^T$ is the relation matrix of $I_H$, i.e. the sequence

$$0 \to S^2 \xrightarrow{A^T} S^3 \to I_H \to 0$$

is exact. The type of $R = K[H]$ is 2, hence by Corollary 3.4 we get $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = I_1(\bar{A^T}) = (t^{n_i a_i}, t^{n_i b_i} : i = 1, \ldots, 3)$, where $\bar{A^T}$ is obtained by applying $\varphi$ on the entries of $A^T$. We may formulate the following result.

**Proposition 7.9.** *Assume $H$ is a non-symmetric 3-generated numerical semigroup and let $R = K[H]$. With notation as in (13), we set $d_i = \min\{a_i, b_i\}$ for $1 \le i \le 3$. Then*

$$\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = (t^{d_1 n_1}, t^{d_2 n_2}, t^{d_3 n_3})R, \text{ and } \operatorname{res}(H) = d_1 d_2 d_3.$$

*Proof.* The first part is clear from the discussion above. Since

$$R/\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) \cong S/(I_H, x_1^{d_1}, x_2^{d_2}, x_3^{d_3}) \cong S/(x_1^{d_1}, x_2^{d_2}, x_3^{d_3})$$

we obtain that $\operatorname{res}(H) = \dim_K R/\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = d_1 d_2 d_3$. □

We may now give a positive answer to Question 7.7, in embedding dimension 3.

**Proposition 7.10.** *For any 3-generated numerical semigroup $H$ one has*

$$\operatorname{res}(H) \le g(H) - n(H).$$

*Proof.* If $H$ is symmetric we actually have equality $0 = \mathrm{res}(H) = g(H) - n(H)$, as noted in [11, Lemma 1(f)]. Assume $H$ is not symmetric and that it has a structure matrix $A$ denoted as in (14). Nari et al. prove in [29, Theorem 3.2] that

$$2g(H) - (\mathrm{F}(H) + 1) \in \{a_1b_1c_1, a_2b_2c_2\}.$$

Using Proposition 7.9, we obtain

$$\mathrm{res}(H) \leq \min\{a_1b_1c_1, a_2b_2c_2\} \leq 2g(H) - (\mathrm{F}(H) + 1) = g(H) - n(H). \quad \square$$

We will characterize the 3-generated numerical semigroups such that their trace is at either end of the interval $[\mathcal{C}_H, M]$.

**Theorem 7.11.** *Let $H$ be a 3-generated numerical semigroup. Then $\mathrm{tr}(H) = M$ if and only if one of the following cases occurs:*

(i) *$H = \langle ab + b + 1, b + c + 1, ac + a + c\rangle$ where $a, b, c$ are positive integers with $\gcd(b + c - 1, ab - c) = 1$, or*

(ii) *$H = \langle bc + b + 1, ca + c + 1, ab + a + 1\rangle$, where $a, b, c$ are positive integers with $\gcd(bc + b + 1, ca + c + 1) = 1$.*

*In case* (i), $\mathrm{F}(H) = abc+bc-b-1+\max\{0, ab-c\}$, *and in case* (ii), $\mathrm{F}(H) = 2abc-2$.

*Proof.* Assume $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3\rangle$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(H) = M$. By Corollary 3.5, that is equivalent to $I_1(A) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where $A$ is the matrix attached to $H$ as in (14). Clearly, $H$ is not symmetric, hence up to a permutation of the variables, there are essentially two (overlapping) cases to consider.

Case 1:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2^a & x_3^b \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_1^c \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } a, b, c > 0.$$

Using (15) we get $n_1 = ab+b+1, n_2 = b+c+1, n_3 = ac+a+c$, as desired. It is easy to check that $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = \gcd(n_2, n_3) = \gcd(n_1, n_3)$, hence $1 = \gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \gcd(n_2, n_1 - n_2) = \gcd(b + c - 1, ab - c)$.

Conversely, let $n_1 = ab + b + 1, n_2 = b + c + 1, n_3 = ac + a + c$ for some positive integers $a, b, c$ such that $\gcd(b + c - 1, ab - c) = 1$. Arguing as above we see that the generators of $H$ are pairwise coprime, hence $H$ is a numerical semigroup which is not symmetric. It is easy to verify the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + c)n_1 &= n_2 + bn_3, \\ (1 + a)n_2 &= n_1 + n_3, \\ (1 + b)n_3 &= cn_1 + an_2. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We claim that these are the minimal relations (13) among $n_1, n_2, n_3$.

Since $a_1, b_3$ in (13) are positive, unique and $(1 + a)n_2 = n_1 + n_3$, we may identify $c_2 = 1 + a$ and $a_1 = b_3 = 1$.

After substituting $n_1 = (1 + a)n_2 - n_3$ into $c_1n_1 = b_2n_2 + a_3n_3$, we get $c_1((1 + a)n_2 - n_3) = b_2n_2 + a_3n_3$, hence

$$(c_1(1 + a) - b_2)n_2 = (c_1 + a_3)n_3.$$

Since $n_2$ and $n_3$ are coprime, there exists a positive integer $\ell$ so that $c_1 + a_3 = \ell n_2$. Thus, $c_1 + a_3 \geq b + c + 1$.

On the other hand, comparing (16) and (13) we obtain that $c_1 \leq 1 + c$ and $a_3 = c_3 - b_3 \leq (b + 1) - 1 = b$, hence $c_1 + a_3 \leq 1 + c + b$. This implies that $c_1 + a_3 = b + c + 1$, and moreover $c_1 = c + 1$ and $a_3 = b$. We can now identify the rest of the coefficients in (13): $c_1 = 1 + b, b_1 = c, a_2 = a$, which shows that the matrix $A$ has the desired entries.

Case 2:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2^b & x_3^c & x_1^a \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } a, b, c > 0.$$

Using (15) we get $n_1 = bc + b + 1, n_2 = ca + c + 1, n_3 = ab + a + 1$. It is easy to see that $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = \gcd(n_2, n_3) = \gcd(n_1, n_3)$, hence the desired description for $H$.

Conversely, let $a, b, c$ be positive integers with $\gcd(bc+b+1, ca+c+1) = 1$. It now follows from [32, Theorem 14] (and its proof) that $H = \langle bc+b+1, ca+c+1, ab+a+1 \rangle$ is a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup whose matrix $A$ is the one we started with this case.

It is shown in [31, Theorem 2.2.3] that for any non-symmetric numerical semigroup $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ one has

$$\mathrm{F}(H) = \max\{c_1 n_1 + b_3 n_3, c_2 n_2 + a_3 n_3\},$$

where $c_1, c_2, a_3, b_3$ are as in (13). It is now an easy exercise to derive the announced formulas for $\mathrm{F}(H)$, when $H$ belongs to either one of the two families considered above. □

**Remark 7.12.** As noticed by Nari, Numata and Keiichi Watanabe in [29, Corollary 3.3] (see also [28, Corollary 2.9]), the format of the matrix $A$ in case (ii) of Theorem 7.11 corresponds to $H$ being pseudo-symmetric, which is equivalent in embedding dimension 3 to $H$ being almost symmetric and not symmetric, see [28, Proposition 2.3]. The complete parametrization of 3-generated pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups was obtained by Rosales and García-Sánchez in [32].

**Proposition 7.13.** *Assume $H$ is a non-symmetric 3-generated numerical semigroup. Then $\mathrm{tr}(H) = \mathcal{C}_H$ if and only if $H = \langle 3, 3a + 1, 3a + 2 \rangle$ for some positive integer $a$.*

*Proof.* Assume $\mathrm{tr}(H) = \mathcal{C}_H$.

It follows from Proposition 7.9 that $\mu(\mathrm{tr}(H)) = 3$, hence $H$ has multiplicity 3. (We can get the same thing by applying directly Corollary 6.9.) Listing its generators increasingly we have that either $H = \langle 3, 3a + 1, 3b + 2 \rangle$ with $0 < a \leq b$, or $H = \langle 3, 3b + 2, 3a + 1 \rangle$ with $a > b > 0$.

Assume $a \leq b$. Then $3b + 2 \notin \langle 3, 3a + 1 \rangle$, hence $3b + 2 \leq \mathrm{F}(\langle 3, 3a + 1 \rangle) = 6a - 1$, by [31]. Thus $b < 2a$. It is easy to check that the structure matrix (14) is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{2a-b} & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_1^{2b-a+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\mathrm{res}(H) = 2a-b$ by Proposition 7.9. Note that $0, 3, 6, \ldots, 3(a-1)$ are not in $\mathcal{C}_H$, hence $2a-b = \mathrm{res}(H) = |H \setminus C_H| \geq a$. This gives $a = b$ and $H = \langle 3, 3a+1, 3a+2\rangle$.

If $a > b$ then arguing as in the previous case we obtain $3a+1 \leq \mathrm{F}(\langle 3, 3b+2\rangle) = 6b+1$, and $a < 2b$. Clearly $0, 3, 6, \ldots, 3b$ are not in $\mathcal{C}_H$, hence $\mathrm{res}(H) = |H \setminus \mathcal{C}_H| \geq b+1$. On the other hand, the structure matrix of $H$ is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{2b-a+1} & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_1^{2a-b} \end{pmatrix},$$

and Proposition 7.9 gives $\mathrm{res}(H) = 2b-a+1$. Thus $2b-a+1 \geq b+1$, and $b \geq a$, a contradiction.

Example 7.8 confirms that for any $a > 0$ the semigroup $H = \langle 3, 3a+1, 3a+2\rangle$ satisfies $\mathrm{tr}(H) = \mathcal{C}_H$. □

**Remark 7.14.** From the proof of Proposition 7.13 we see that for any $a > 0$ we have that $\mathrm{res}(\langle 3, 3a+1, 3a+2\rangle) = a$.

In particular, the residue of a 3-generated numerical semigroup $H$ may be as large as possible. However, this is not the case in a shifted family of semigroups, as we verify below.

Firstly, we extend the definition of residue from (12) to arbitrary affine subsemigroups of $\mathbb{N}$. In this sense, for any semigroup $H \subset \mathbb{N}$ containing 0 we let

$$\mathrm{res}(H) = \mathrm{res}\left(\frac{1}{d}H\right), \text{ where } d = \gcd(h : h \in H).$$

Given the sequence of integers $\mathbf{a} : a_1 < \cdots < a_e$, for any $j$ we denote $\mathbf{a}+j : a_1+j, \ldots, a_e+j$. The shifted family of $\mathbf{a}$ is the family $\{\mathbf{a}+j\}_{j\geq 0}$. It has been proved that for large enough shifts several properties occur periodically in the shifted family of semigroups $\{\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle\}_{j\geq 0}$ and their semigroup rings $\{K[\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle]\}_{j\geq 0}$, see [23], [39], [18], [34]. For instance, Jayanthan and Srinivasan [23] showed that for $j \gg 0$

$$K[\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle] \text{ is complete intersection (CI)} \iff K[\langle \mathbf{a}+j+(a_e-a_1)\rangle] \text{ is CI.}$$

More generally, Vu ([39, Theorem 1.1]) showed that

$$\text{for } j \gg 0, \quad \beta_i(K[\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle]) = \beta_i(K[\langle \mathbf{a}+j+(a_e-a_1)\rangle]) \text{ for all } i.$$

In particular, for $j \gg 0$ the algebras $K[\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle]$ and $K[\langle \mathbf{a}+j+(a_e-a_1)\rangle]$ are Gorenstein at the same time. This implies that the semigroups $\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{a}+j+(a_e-a_1)\rangle$ are symmetric at the same time. Equivalently,

$$\text{for } j \gg 0, \quad \mathrm{res}(\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle) = 0 \iff \mathrm{res}(\langle \mathbf{a}+j+(a_e-a_1)\rangle) = 0.$$

It is natural to ask the following.

**Question 7.15.** *Given the list of integers* $\mathbf{a} : a_1 < \cdots < a_e$*, is it true that*

$$\textit{for } j \gg 0, \quad \mathrm{res}(\langle \mathbf{a}+j\rangle) = \mathrm{res}(\langle \mathbf{a}+j+(a_e-a_1)\rangle)?$$

Numerical experiments with GAP ([12], [8]) indicate that Question 7.15 might have a positive answer. Next we confirm it in case $e \leq 3$. If $e = 2$, then $\langle a_1+j, a_2+j\rangle$ is symmetric for all $j$, and we are done. The case $e = 3$ is proved in the following

theorem. We first note that when studying asymptotic properties in a shifted family $\{\mathbf{a}+j\}_j$, we may assume $a_1 = 0$.

**Theorem 7.16.** *Given the integers $0 < a < b$, let $D = \gcd(a,b)$ and $k_{a,b} = \max\{b(\frac{b-a}{D} - 1), \frac{ba}{D}\}$. For any integer $j$ we denote $H_j = \langle j, j+a, j+b\rangle$.*

*Then $\operatorname{res}(H_j) = \operatorname{res}(H_{j+b})$ for all $j > 2k_{a,b}$.*

Before giving the proof of Theorem 7.16 we recall a result from [34] (extending Jayanthan and Srinivasan's [23, Theorem 1.4]) about the occurence of symmetric semigroups in a shifted family $\{\langle j, a+j, b+j\rangle\}_{j\geq 0}$.

**Lemma 7.17.** *(*[34, Theorem 3.1]*) With notation as in Theorem 7.16, let*

$$T = \prod_{p \text{ prime, } \nu_p(a)<\nu_p(b)} p^{\nu_p(b)}, \tag{17}$$

*where for any integer $n$ we denote $\nu_p(n) = \max\{i : p^i \text{ divides } n\}$. Then for $j > k_{a,b}$ the semigroup $H_j$ is symmetric if and only if $j$ is a multiple of $T$. In particular, in the family of semigroups $\{H_j\}_{j>k_{a,b}}$ the symmetric property occurs periodically with principal period $T$.*

*Proof.* (of Theorem 7.16).

We start with $j > k_{a,b}$. By Lemma 7.17, if $H_j$ is symmetric then $H_{j+b}$ is symmetric, too, hence $\operatorname{res}(H_j) = \operatorname{res}(H_{j+b}) = 0$.

Assume $H_j$ is not symmetric. By Lemma 7.17, $H_{j+\ell b}$ is not symmetric for all $\ell \geq 0$. Denote $A_{j+\ell b}$ the structure matrix (14) of the non-symmetric semigroup $H_{j+\ell b}$.

For $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (0, a, b) + j + \ell b$, it is proved in [34, Theorem 2.2] that for any $\ell \geq 0$ the middle equation in (13) is

$$\frac{b}{D}n_2 = \frac{b-a}{D}n_1 + \frac{a}{D}n_3. \tag{18}$$

This implies that

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(b-a)/D} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3} \\ & x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{a/D} \quad x_1^{b_1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2$ are positive integers (depending on $j$) such that $a_2 + b_2 = b/D$, by (18).

Let $e = \gcd(a,b)/\gcd(j,a,b)$. Proposition 4.2 in [34] explains how the equations (13) change when we shift up by $b$. According to this result, only the last column of $A_j$ changes and we obtain

$$A_{j+b} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(b-a)/D} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3+e} \\ & x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{a/D} \quad x_1^{b_1+e} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Iterating this, we have that

$$A_{j+\ell b} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(b-a)/D} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3+\ell e} \\ & x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{a/D} \quad x_1^{b_1+\ell e} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } \ell \geq 0.$$

Proposition 7.9 gives

$$\text{res}(H_{j+\ell b}) = \min\{(b-a)/D, b_1 + \ell e\} \cdot \min\{a/D, a_3 + \ell e\} \cdot \min\{a_2, b_2\}. \tag{19}$$

For $\ell \geq \max\{\frac{b-a}{D} - 1, \frac{a}{D}\} = \frac{1}{b}k_{a,b}$ it is easy to see that $b_1 + \ell e \geq (b-a)/D$ and $a_3 + \ell e \geq a/D$. Hence, (19) becomes

$$\text{res}(H_{j+\ell b}) = \min\{a_2, b_2\} \cdot a(b-a)/D^2, \tag{20}$$

which is a formula not involving $\ell$.

The argument above shows that for any $j > 2k_{a,b}$ we have that $\text{res}(H_j) = \text{res}(H_{j+b})$. This concludes the proof. □

**Corollary 7.18.** *With notation as in Theorem 7.16, for $j > 2k_{a,b}$ the residue of $H_j$ is an integer divisible by $(b-a)a/D^2$ and*

$$\text{res}(H_j) < 8b^3/27D^3.$$

*Proof.* If $H_j$ is symmetric, the inequality to prove is clear. Assume $H_j$ is not symmetric and $j > 2k_{a,b}$. By (20), we have $\text{res}(H_j) = \min\{a_2, b_2\} \cdot a(b-a)/D^2$, with $a_2, b_2$ positive integers such that $a_2 + b_2 = b/D$. This shows the first part of the claim. The second part is obtained from the following chain of inequalities

$$\text{res}(H_j) \leq \frac{a(b-a)}{D^2}\left(\frac{b}{D} - 1\right) < \frac{ab(b-a)}{D^3} \leq \left(\frac{2b}{3}\right)^3 \cdot \frac{1}{D^3} = \frac{8b^3}{27D^3},$$

where for the last inequality we used the known fact that $\sqrt[3]{xyz} \leq (x+y+z)/3$ for $x, y, z > 0$. □

**Corollary 7.19.** *With notation as in Theorem 7.16, for $j > 2k_{a,b}$ the semigroup $H_j$ is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $H_{j+b}$ is nearly Gorenstein.*

We make a comment about the frequency of occurences of the symmetric, almost symmetric and nearly Gorenstein property in a shifted family.

**Remark 7.20.** Let $0 < a < b$. For $j \geq 0$ we denote $H_j = \langle j, j+a, j+b\rangle$. We use the constant $k_{a,b}$ introduced in Theorem 7.16.

Lemma 7.17 shows that we find symmetric semigroups for arbitrarily large shifts $j$. From the formula (17) for the principal period $T$ we infer that $T > 1$, otherwise $a = b$, which is false. This means that there is no $j_0$ such that $H_j$ is symmetric for all $j > j_0$.

When $b = 2a$, the semigroup $H_j$ is generated by an arithmetic sequence. Using Proposition 7.1 we get that $H_j$ is nearly Gorenstein for all $j > 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 7.17, when $j > b$ we have that $H_j$ is symmetric if and only if $j$ is divisible by $2^{\nu_2(b)}$.

It is however possible that on the shifted family $\{H_j\}_{j\geq 0}$, for large $j$ the only nearly Gorenstein semigroups are the symmetric ones. Indeed, if $a, b$ are coprime and $a > 1$, by Corollary 7.18 we have that for $j > 2k_{a,b}$, either $\text{res}(H) = 0$, or $\text{res}(H) \geq a(b-a) > 1$.

The first author was informed by Kei-ichi Watanabe that there are only finitely many almost symmetric semigroups in the shifted family $\{H_j\}_{j\geq 0}$. This can also be seen as follows. According to Nari et al. [29], the structure matrix $A_j$ for an almost

symmetric semigroup $H_j$ must have one row consisting of linear forms. However, it is proven in [34, Proposition 4.2] that for $j > k_{a,b}$ the matrix $A_{j+b}$ is obtained from $A_j$ by increasing the exponents of the last column by $\gcd(a,b)/\gcd(a,b,j)$. This shows that for $j > k_{a,b} + b$ the semigroup $H_j$ is not almost symmetric.

**Acknowledgement**. We gratefully acknowledge the use of SINGULAR ([7]) and of the numericalsgps package ([8]) in GAP ([12]) for our computations.

Dumitru Stamate was supported by a fellowship at the Research Institute of the University of Bucharest (ICUB).

## References

[1] H. Ananthnarayan, *The Gorenstein colength of an Artinian local ring*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 3438–3446.

[2] V. Barucci, R. Fröberg, *One-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra **188** (1997), 418–442.

[3] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra II. Chapters 4–7*. Translated from the 1981 French edition by P. M. Cohn and J. Howie. Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[4] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Revised Ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[5] W. Bruns, W. Vasconcelos, R. Villarral, *Degree bounds in monomial subrings*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **41** (1997), no. 3, 341–353.

[6] E. De Negri, T. Hibi, *Gorenstein Algebras of Veronese Type*, J. Algebra **193** (1997), 629–639.

[7] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 3-1-6 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. http://www.singular.uni-kl.de (2012).

[8] M. Delgado, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. Morais, *numericalsgps*: a GAP package on numerical semigroups. (http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/numericalsgps.html).

[9] S. Ding, *A note on the index of Cohen-Macaulay local rings*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), no. 1, 53–71.

[10] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **150**, Springer, 1995.

[11] R. Fröberg, C. Gottlieb, R. Häggkvist, *On numerical semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **35** (1987), 63–83.

[12] The GAP Group. GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.5, 2014. (http://www.gap-system.org).

[13] P. Gimenez, I. Sengupta, H. Srinivasan, *Minimal graded free resolutions for monomial curves defined by arithmetic sequences*, J. Algebra **338** (2013), 294–310.

[14] S. Goto, R. Takahashi, N. Taniguchi, Almost Gorenstein rings–towards a theory of higher dimension. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), 2666–2712.

[15] S. Goto, K.-i Watanabe, *On graded rings, I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **30** (1978), no. 2, 179–213.

[16] J. Herzog, *Generators and relations of Abelian semigroups and semigroup rings*, Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 175–193.

[17] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **260**, Springer–Verlag, London, 2011.

[18] J. Herzog, D.I. Stamate, *On the defining equations of the tangent cone of a numerical semigroup ring*, J. Algebra **418** (2014), 8–28.

[19] T. Hibi, *Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws*, in: "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics" (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, Eds.), Advanced Studies in Pure Math., Volume 11, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 93–109.

[20] T. Hibi, *Canonical ideals of Cohen–Macaulay partially ordered sets*, Nagoya Math. J. **112** (1988), 1–24.

[21] L. T. Hoa, N. D. Tam, *On some invariants of a mixed product of ideals*, Arch. Math. **94** (2010), 327–337.
[22] C. Huneke, A. Vraciu, *Rings that are almost Gorenstein*, Pacific J. Math. **225** (2006), no. 1, 85–102.
[23] A.V. Jayanthan, H. Srinivasan, *Periodic occurence of complete intersection monomial curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 4199–4208.
[24] E. Kunz, *The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 748–751.
[25] H. Lindo, *Trace ideals and centers of endomorphism rings of modules over commutative rings*, preprint 2016, arXiv:1603.0857, 24 pages.
[26] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Second edition, Mathematics Lecture Notes Series, vol. **56**, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, 1980.
[27] H. Nari, *Symmetries on almost symmetric numerical semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **86** (2013), 140–154.
[28] T. Numata, *Almost symmetric numerical semigroups with small number of generators*, Ph.D. Thesis, Nihon University, Tokyo, 2015.
[29] T. Nari, T. Numata, K.-i Watanabe, *Genus of numerical semigroups generated by three elements*, J. Algebra **358** (2012), 67–73.
[30] D.P. Patil, I. Sengupta, *Minimal set of generators for the derivation module of certain monomial curves*, Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), 5619–5631.
[31] J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *The Diophantine Frobenius problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. **30**, Oxford University Press, 2005.
[32] J.C. Rosales, P.A. García-Sánchez, *Pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups with three generators*, J. Algebra **291** (2005), 46–54.
[33] J.C. Rosales, P.A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical Semigroups*, Developments in Mathematics, vol. **20**, Springer, 2009.
[34] D.I. Stamate, *Asymptotic properties in the shifted family of a numerical semigrou with few generators*, Semigroup Forum **93** (2016), 225–246.
[35] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, University Lecture Series, no. **8**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.
[36] A. Tripathi, *On a variation of the coin exchange problem for arithmetic progressions*, Integers **3** (2003), A1, 5 pp.
[37] W.V. Vasconcelos, *Computing the integral closure of an affine domain*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 633–638.
[38] R. Villarreal, *Normality of subrings generated by square free monomials*, J. Pure Applied Algebra **113** (1996), 91–106.
[39] T. Vu, *Periodicity of Betti numbers of monomial curves*, J. Algebra **418** (2014), 66–90.
[40] Ch. A. Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. **38**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Jürgen Herzog, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany
*E-mail address*: juergen.herzog@uni-essen.de

Takayuki Hibi, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
*E-mail address*: hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Dumitru I. Stamate, ICUB/Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest – 010014, Romania
*E-mail address*: dumitru.stamate@fmi.unibuc.ro